	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 23-10063-shl
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	GENESIS GLOBAL HOLDCO, LLC,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	x
11	United States Bankruptcy Court
12	One Bowling Green
13	New York, NY 10004
14	
15	October 24, 2023
16	11:24 AM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON SEAN H. LANE
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	ECRO: ALIANNA PERSAUD

	Page 2
1	HEARING re OMNIBUS HEARING
2	
3	HEARING re Doc. #831 Second Amended Notice Of Agenda
4	
5	HEARING re Doc. #775 Motion To Authorize Debtors To Enter
6	Into New Lease Of Real Property And For Related Relief
7	
8	HEARING re Doc. #770 Application For Final Professional
9	Compensation For Randall J. Newsome, Mediator, Period:
10	5/1/2023 To 8/29/2023, Fee: \$57,000.00, Expenses: \$9,593.09
11	
12	HEARING re Doc. #684 Application For Interim Professional
13	Compensation / First Interim Application Of Seward & Kissel
14	LLP, Special Litigation Counsel To The Official Committee of
15	Unsecured Creditors Period: 3/30/2023 To 5/31/2023, Fee:
16	\$111,247.50, Expenses: \$1,854.36
17	
18	HEARING re Doc. #785 Third Motion To Extend Exclusivity
19	Period For Filing A Chapter 11 Plan And Disclosure Statement
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN HAMILTON LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtors
5	One Liberty Plaza
6	New York, NY 10006
7	
8	BY: SEAN A. O'NEAL
9	SABRINA ASHA BREMER
10	CHRISTIAN RIBEIRO
11	
12	WHITE CASE
13	Attorneys for the Official Committee of Unsecured
14	Creditors
15	1221 Avenue of the Americas
16	New York, NY 11020
17	
18	BY: J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE
19	PHILIP ABELSON
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	HUGHES HUBBARD REED LLP
2	Attorneys for Gemini Trust Company, LLC, as Agent
3	One Battery Park Plaza
4	New York, NY 10004
5	
6	BY: ANSON B. FRELINGHUYSEN
7	
8	PROSCAR ROSE LLP
9	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Genesis Lenders
10	11 Times Square
11	New York, NY 10036
12	
13	BY: BRIAN ROSEN
14	
15	BROWN RUDNICK LLP
16	Attorneys for Fair Deal Group
17	Seven Times Square
18	New York, NY 10036
19	
20	BY: KENNETH AULET
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 5
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
3	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
4	New York, NY 10014
5	
6	BY: GREG M. ZIPES
7	
8	WEIL, GOTSHAL MANGES LLP
9	Attorneys for Digital Currency Group, Inc.
10	767 Fifth Avenue
11	New York, NY 10153
12	
13	BY: JESSICA LIOU
14	
15	SEWARD KISSEL LLP
16	Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
17	One Battery Park Plaza
18	New York, NY 10006
19	
20	BY: JOHN R. ASHMEAD
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	. g = 0. 0=
	Page 6
1	LATHAM WATKINS
2	Attorneys for Foreign Representatives of Three Arrows
3	Capital
4	1271 Avenue of the Americas
5	New York, NY 10020
6	
7	BY: ADAM GOLDBERG
8	
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	DEANDRA FIKE
12	BRADLEY LENOX
13	GREGORY LANDEGGER
14	JEFFREY D. SAFERSTEIN
15	DAVID SCHWARTZ
16	MIKE LEGGE
17	NEGISA BALLUKU
18	JADE DYER-KENNEDY
19	ASHLYN GALLAGHER
20	UDAY GORREPATI
21	TAYLOR HARRISON
22	MIRANDA HATCH
23	DIETRICH KNAUTH
24	KEN LUKASZEWSKI
25	JACK MASSEY

	. g . o. o.
	Page 7
1	AKIKO MATSUDA
2	KYLE MCKUHEN
3	RICHARD CHESTER MINOTT
4	TYLER OKADA
5	KATIE ROSS
6	SAMIRA SARAN
7	JORDAN SAZANT
8	RODNIKA CARTER
9	PETER J. SPROFERA
10	CATHY TA
11	GEMMA TUNG
12	MICHAEL WEINBERG
13	LILY YARBOROUGH
14	JOHN ZAKY
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 8 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: All right. So with that, we're returning to the 11 o'clock calendar. Thank you, everyone, 3 for your patience as you sat through the end of the 10 4 o'clock calendar and learned more about state court 5 proceedings and how to get a judgment. 7 And so, we're here for Genesis Global Holdco LLC, 8 a Chapter 11 case. It's jointly administered. We'll start 9 this morning's hearing, as we always do, by getting 10 appearances. I recognize there are people in the courtroom 11 and there are no doubt people on the phone as well. And so 12 let's start by getting appearances from debtor's counsel. 13 MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, Sean O'Neal, Cleary 14 Gottlieb on behalf of the debtors. 15 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. And from 16 the official committee? 17 MR. SHORE: Good morning, Your Honor. Chris Shore 18 from White and Case here with my partner, Phil Abelson. 19 THE COURT: All right. Good morning. And from 20 Gemini? 21 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: Good morning, Your Honor. 22 Anson Frelinghuysen, Hughs Hubbard Reed, Gemini Trust 23 Company LLC. 24 THE COURT: All right, good morning. And from the 25 ad hoc group?

Page 9 1 MR. ROSEN: Good morning, Your Honor, Brian Rosen, 2 Proscar Rose, on behalf of the ad hoc group. 3 THE COURT: On behalf of the fair deal group? 4 MR. AULET: Good morning, Your Honor, Kenneth 5 Aulet of Brown Rudnick for the fair deal group. 6 THE COURT: All right. On behalf of the United 7 States Trustee's Office? MR. ZIPES: Greg Zipes with the US Trustee's 8 9 Office. Good morning. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. On behalf of the joint 11 liquidators of Three Arrows Capital? 12 MR. GOLDBERG: Good morning, Your Honor, Adam 13 Goldberg of Latham Watkins on behalf of the joint 14 liquidators. 15 THE COURT: Good morning. There are obviously, as 16 there always are, quite a few other appearances. 17 been an evolving situation on a number of the matters that 18 were on the calendar for today. So you all are better in 19 touch with who may want to speak or need to speak at today's 20 hearing. So with that, I'll throw it open to any other 21 appearances and I'll start with the folks in the courtroom. 22 So let's anyone else in the courtroom wishes to enter an 23 appearance? 24 MS. LIOU: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor, Jessica 25 Liou from Weil Gotshal Manges on behalf of digital currency

23-10063-shl Doc 841 Filed 10/26/23 Entered 10/26/23 15:44:37 Main Document Pg 10 of 92 Page 10 1 group. 2 THE COURT: All right, good morning. Any other 3 party who's here in the courtroom who needs to make an appearance? All right. Any other party who's on Zoom who 4 5 needs to make an appearance? 6 MR. RIBEIRO: Good morning, Your Honor. Christian 7 Ribeiro, Cleary Gotlieb, counsel for the debtors along with 8 my colleague, Sabrina Bremer, Cleary Gotlieb, as well. 9 THE COURT: All right, good morning. Anyone else? 10 MR. ASHMEAD: Good morning, Your Honor, John 11 Ashmead of Seward Kissel. I'm here behalf of my firm, which 12 is special counsel to the official committee. 13 THE COURT: All right, good morning. Anyone else? 14 All right. So I have a copy of, I guess it's the second 15 amended agenda. And the change from the first amended 16 agenda is to turn this into a hybrid hearing so that folks 17 could come here this morning. And as I understand it, some 18 things on the agenda have changed over time. So I thought 19 maybe we could level set by having debtor's counsel explain 20 what's on what's not on. And we can start with, with that. And let me, before Mr. O'Neal chimes in, let me 21 22 make sure everybody on Zoom can hear us all in the 23 courtroom. MR. RIBEIRO: Yes, Your Honor, sounds good here. 24

Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right.

bore you with more information than you want to know, the microphones here in the courtroom are for amplification in the courtroom. They are not Zoom microphones. So we have separate micros for that. And occasionally that has led to people who have less knowledge of technical things to, to not quite realize when we have an issue. So -- but --

MR. O'NEAL Yes. Your Honor, it's a good introduction to me having been the one that turned the microphone off. Apologies.

THE COURT: All good. It's perfectly fine. There but with the grace of God go I. So, Mr. O'Neal, why don't you level set for purposes of today's hearing?

MR. O'NEAL: Certainly. I believe we have a few matters on the agenda. We obviously have the exclusivity motion. And we also have a few additional matters that some of the Cleary team members who are on Zoom will be presenting two motions as well.

We are not going forward with the Three Arrows

Capital matters today as described in the agenda letter. We have reached an agreement in principle to resolve the Three Arrows Capital claims objections and related matters. We're currently documenting that settlement. Not prepared or able at this point in time to describe the terms of that settlement, but we will be doing that as soon as we, as we can.

Page 12 1 THE COURT: And I assume that means -- I took it 2 when I saw the agenda -- that you did need a ruling on the 3 one matter where we had arguments and where I made comments, but I didn't make a formal ruling? 4 5 MR. O'NEAL: Correct, Your Honor. I believe 6 you're referring to the Rule 2004 motion. 7 THE COURT: Correct. MR. O'NEAL: And that is correct. It's our 8 9 understanding that that is not going forward at this time 10 and that there's no need for you to decide that. Of course, 11 we are still documenting the settlement. 12 THE COURT: I'll put a pin in it for now. And if, 13 if it needs to be ruled on, I'll rule on it, but I will put -- I'm close to being able to get an answer to you on that, 14 15 but I'll hold off. 16 MR. O'NEAL: Certainly. We have learned in this 17 case that agreement in principles do not always come to 18 fruition. So we are hopeful that that will occur, but we 19 will find out. 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. And 21 so anything else on just sort of level setting and what 22 we're here to do today? MR. O'NEAL: No, Your Honor. I think that is, I 23 24 believe that -- oh, and I believe Mr. Ashmead also has his 25 fee application up for hearing as well.

THE COURT: All right. All right. And so let me,
it's a good segue in terms of level setting, explain why
we're here for a hybrid hearing. We've been doing largely
remote hearings except for when we had someone sitting in
the witness chair. It was something that I thought might be
useful to the extent that there are times occasionally in
cases where it's helpful to have a chambers conference. And
by chambers conference, I mean a discussion off the record.
Obviously, I'm not a mediator in the case, somebody else
would have to mediate the case and somebody else has
mediated part of the case because I'm the one who has to
decide the issues. A chamber's conference is different. It
allows the parties to talk, I think, candidly about issues
in a way that sometimes it's helpful. I've used them on
occasion. I don't use them a lot. I'm always sensitive to
the issues about transparency and process in the sense that
we don't want to ever exclude somebody, a party who's a
party-in-interest from having a discussion about the case.
And so we, we don't do it that way. But that said, I have
found in my experience that there are times you can have
much more frank conversations, outside the glare of
potential publicity, in a chambers conference than you can
have an open court hearing. So sometimes I just but I'm
guided by the parties. The parties know the case better
than, than I do. They know the dynamics of what's going on

and what's not going on better than I do. And I've certainly learned that when I was a lawyer that sometimes judges had bright ideas that were not necessarily in tune with where the case was. So I just make it as an opportunity if people think it's helpful. And I don't require it, but sometimes, again, it does -- you might think why if it's the same folks and you're not mediating and you're just discussing, what's the difference? But as a practical matter, it does lead to sometimes more candid conversations that can be helpful. So I'll start off with that. I'm happy to do that here today if parties think that would be useful, I'm happy to do it. If we're going to do it, I'm happy to do it at any point in the hearing: at the end, at the beginning, the middle, whatever works, but I'll be guided by what you all think. And with that, Mr. O'Neal, any thoughts?

MR. O'NEAL: Certainly. Your Honor, thank you for the suggestion. While it was not our suggestion, we think it's an excellent idea to have a chambers conference with respect to the exclusivity motion. And we have conferred with the people who are assembled in the courtroom, with their representatives and I don't believe there is any objection to proceeding on that basis. What I might suggest is, as a matter of efficiency, is that perhaps we can clear out the calendar of the kind of contested noncontroversial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

matters, get those completed and then perhaps we could move forward with the chambers conference. And then after that, have the exclusivity motion here.

THE COURT: All right. That sounds eminently sensible. And you mentioned an important point that I did -- I neglected to mention is exclusivity hearings can be a particularly thorny issue in cases. We all have had cases where that becomes the flashpoint and sort of the host organism, so to speak, for fights about where the case is going and issues. And it -- they are expensive and they can, they're also capable of sort of repeating on a regular calendar basis and so they can be very inefficient. it. Everybody has their rights. But, but the fact that there are fights about exclusivity is, is one of those instances that does suggest sometimes that a chambers conference could potentially be useful. So that's one of the triggers. Again, this was, was something I wanted, was done at my initiative. Not any party, nobody reached out to me. We don't talk to people about substance ex parte. And so chambers reached out and then asked that all the partiesin-interest be looped in and told that that was the, the thought.

So with that, I think, unless anyone has any other ideas, let's go through the uncontested matters and get the calendar cleaned up. And then we can see where we are. So

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Zipes.

MR. ZIPES: Yeah. Your Honor, my office doesn't object to the chambers conference. Just to make clear, my office didn't consent one way or the other to it. And we would just recommend that the parties agree to maybe one or two sentence summary of what, what happened at this.

THE COURT: Yeah, we -- that's exactly right.

There's no, there's no desire to have what I call the Aaron

Burr problem -- if you've seen Hamilton -- where someone

feels they're outside the room where it happens and they

have, they're a stakeholder and those issues are important.

So that's exactly right. And so I think that's a good

suggestion. So with that, we can turn to the uncontested

part of the calendar. And I'm not sure who I should cede

the podium to.

MS. BREMER: Good morning, Your Honor. Sabrina

Bremer from Cleary Gottlieb Steen and Hamilton for the

debtors. I will be presenting the first uncontested matter

on the agenda, the debtor's motion for an order authorizing

the debtors to enter into a lease of new -- of real property

which was filed at ECF Number 775.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, proceed.

MS. BREMER: Thank you. We filed one declaration with this motion, the declaration from the debtor's CEO,

Derar Islim. We had asked that this declaration be admitted

Pg 17 of 92 Page 17 1 to the record, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Anyone have any objection 3 to me admitting the declaration of -- could you say the 4 first name again? 5 MS. BREMER: Derar. 6 THE COURT: Okay, Islim in support of this motion? 7 All right. Hearing no response, I'm happy to receive it in 8 support of this motion. Counsel. (Islim declaration admitted) 9 10 MS. BREMER: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. 11 Just to briefly go over the facts, the debtors currently use 12 a commercial office space pursuant to a lease between the 13 landlord and DCG. This lease will expire on November 30th, 14 2023, and the debtors are seeking authority to enter into a 15 new six-month lease of commercial office space. Under this 16 new lease, Holdco will be obligated to pay \$27,000 per month 17 and a security deposit also of \$27,000. The debtors 18 anticipate that under this new lease, it will result in 19 savings of approximately \$18,000 for Holdco and its 20 subsidiaries. The debtors believe that this is an ordinary 21 22 course transaction but seek authority from the Court

regardless. The debtors have decided in their sound business judgment that entering into the new lease is necessary for the continued administration of the debtor's

23

24

estate as many of the debtors employees continue to work on site and the new lease will result in savings as the debtors require less office space than they currently have. We have consulted with the committee on this motion and the motion and the order -- proposed order reflect comments from the committee and we received no formal objections. Unless you have any questions, Your Honor, we would ask that the Court enter the proposed order filed with the motion.

that recitation. Any party wish to be heard on this motion?

All right, hearing no party either in court in person or on

Zoom, I'm happy to grant this motion as the request of

relief is an exercise of the debtor's sound business

judgment. And just make sure we get an electronic version

of the proposed order. And very nice to see you, counsel,

pretending here today. Thanks so much. Next matter?

MS. BREMER: Thank you.

MR. RIBEIRO: Good morning, Christian Ribeiro -excuse me -- Cleary Gottlieb Steen and Hamilton, counsel for
debtors. The second matter on the agenda, uncontested, is
the first and final application of Judge Randall Newsom who
was the court-appointed mediator in these cases for the
period between May 1st and August 29th, 2023 as the Court is
very well aware of the debtor's filed a motion for the
appointment in April 2024 -- 2023. It's (indiscernible) at

ECF Number 279. Pursuing the mediation order, Judge Newsom got to work in preparing, reviewing pleadings, traveling to New York City to attend two mediation sessions which were scheduled, May 25th. After numerous extensions of the mediation period, Judge Newsom also presided over a two-day live media mediation session on August 16th and 17th in New York. The (indiscernible) Judge Newsom seeks this relief pursuant to Section 330 of the Bankruptcy Code, Order (indiscernible) 52. The application also includes certain write-offs including for research, copying expenses that he did not purchase through the estate. Judge Newsom, unfortunately, is not available today. He happens to be at another mediation session. But if you have any questions on the application, I'm happy to answer them for you or we can, we can ask the Judge Newsom to supplement the request but otherwise, we respectfully request entry of the order attached as Exhibit B to the application.

party that wishes to be heard on this application? All right. Hearing no response, including from the United States Trustee's Office, I'm happy to grant the application. The mediation has been the subject of, of some discussion here in court. Obviously, we all know it was going on with the hope of moving the case forward. And I find the application is entirely appropriate under the facts and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 20 1 circumstances of the case and applicable law. Thank you 2 very much, counsel. 3 MR. RIBEIRO: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Next up? MR. ASHMEAD: Your Honor, it's John Ashmead of 5 6 Seward and Kissel, special litigation counsel to the 7 committee. Our first interim fee application is the next 8 item on the agenda. We were retained in April to provide 9 discrete services to the committee as and when directed by 10 lead counsel, White and Case. On September 6th, Seward 11 Kissel filed its first interim application for the period of 12 March 30 to May 31 as reflected in the affidavit of service 13 at Docket Number 740. S and K timely filed or served the 14 fee notice parties with the application notice of hearing. 15 The objection deadline was October 17th at 4 p.m. 16 received no formal or informal objections or comments 17 regarding the application. Through the application --18 MAN 1: (indiscernible) 19 THE COURT: Someone's got a live line. Please, 20 people are entitled to appear on Zoom, but those privileges 21 can be revoked if it's appropriate. Mr. Ashmead, please 22 proceed. 23 MR. ASHMEAD: Sure. Thank you, Your Honor. 24 Through our application, we seek reimbursement of actual and 25 necessary expenses incurred on behalf of the committee

including our fees in the aggregate amount of \$113,101.86. We were already paid \$88,998 in fees and \$1854.36 in expenses through the compensation period. We understand that Your Honor previously determined that at prior fee application hearing that we weren't involved in to continue the professional holdbacks until the final fee applications are filed. Just so, you know, our hold back amount of 20 percent is \$24,076.86. We filed a CNO on October 19th at Docket Number 816, which contained a proposed order that we shared with your chambers in Word version. That proposed order, Your Honor, is consistent with the Court's prior determination on holdbacks. So unless Your Honor has any questions, we would respectfully request that you enter the proposed order, which finds are 80 percent of fees and 100 percent of expenses are necessary and reasonable under the circumstances. THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. did see the certificate of no objection filed at Docket 816. And so with that, let me ask if there's any party that wishes to be heard on this application? MR. ZIPES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. The US Trustee's Office in court just chimed in that they did not wish to be heard. Thank you for that. Any other party? All right. I quess I just did have one question, Mr. Ashmead, just for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

understanding how your firm is, is working with White and Case and this is probably just a good sort of illustrative way to talk about it, is there, for the categories, there's a discussion about relief from stay. It's not a significant amount. It's, it's some 8.5 hours, but I'm just trying to understand the coordination of services among the two firms.

MR. ASHMEAD: Of course, Your Honor. We were brought in to be available with respect to, matters with respect to certain parties that could end up in litigation that, unfortunately for my firm, but good for good for the estate, has not ended up in litigation involving FTX and certain other parties where there could ultimately depend on what litigation happened in conflicts that might disable White and Case, although that did not come to pass. And just so Your Honor understands, for the last four months since the compensation period, I believe our total fees and expenses are less than \$50,000, although not submitted yet.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That, that's helpful. All right. I'm happy to grant the first interim fee application of your firm at the requested amount for the services for this period, finding it to be appropriate as a matter of the facts and circumstances of the case and applicable law. And thank you for the information. And we can move on to the next matter.

MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor --

Page 23 1 MR. ASHMEAD: And, Your Honor, may I be excused? 2 THE COURT: Absolutely. Anybody who is here for those, the first couple of matters should definitely feel 3 more than free to move on with the other things in their 4 5 life. Thank you. 6 MR. ASHMEAD: Thank you, Your Honor. Have a nice 7 day. 8 THE COURT: You too. 9 MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, I believe that concludes 10 the uncontested matters for today. 11 THE COURT: All right. MR. O'NEAL: And so I, I believe that now we could 12 13 move on into the chambers conference if you wish. 14 THE COURT: All right. So we have folks, I think, 15 here from all the parties who have filed papers in 16 connection with the exclusivity motion. And I think we also 17 have other parties-in-interest who had an interest in being, 18 participating in chambers conference. So I think we'll take 19 a break. And so here's what I would suggest for folks on 20 the line. The nice thing about Zoom is you can do other 21 things with your, with your life. I will say that it's a 22 quarter to 12. We'll, we'll start talking and one way or 23 the other if we're not done before this, I'll make sure to 24 give sort of an update at 12:15 so that people aren't sort

of hanging in space not knowing where we are. So with that,

I'm going to mute my line but keep it open so people don't have to log off and log back on and thank you for everybody's patience and cooperation. All right, I think we can use that room right back there.

(Conference in chambers)

THE COURT: Hi, good afternoon. This is Judge

Lane again. I just wanted to give a brief update. The

parties are still chatting about various issues. I

appreciate everybody's patience who's on the phone and are

waiting. Thank you very much. I would expect we'd be about

another 10, 15 minutes. So I will check back in at 12:30.

Again, I appreciate everybody's patience and good humor

about this. Thank you very much and talk to you soon.

(Conference in chambers continued)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Once again, this is Judge Sean Lane in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. And we're here once again in the Genesis Global Holdco LLC case. We took a break to have a chambers conference and I thought it was appropriate and hopefully will be helpful to share a few observations about what was discussed.

So first, let me share the observation that it, it's very clear to me that all the professionals are operating in good faith. They're, they're trying to find a path for this case to go forward for everybody. They may

have differences of opinion about what that path is. But I just think in a case like this where there's, there's been some rhetoric that has been occasionally rather, a little, a bit elevated that it's important to understand that fact. I don't have any reason, I haven't seen anything in this case and I didn't see anything in our discussions now that's anything other than operating in good faith to try to figure out how to make a very challenging case work.

A couple of other observations. One is everyone agrees we had some discussion about the, the filing of the complaint by the New York Attorney General is a significant event in this case. It makes the degree of difficulty -- to use the Olympic gymnastics term -- higher for a resolution. But everything that is a challenge is also an opportunity and I think the professionals in the room on behalf of all clients are, are trying to view it that way. I think there's also, based on the papers that have been filed and the discussions in the room, sort of an emerging consensus that if, if parties can reach an agreement that works for everybody, great. That's the preferred path. But if they can't, that the No Deal Plan or some kind of a No Deal Plan with the details to be determined, is an appropriate backup. And people may agree, disagree, or agree about some of the details of it, but I think we all have the general idea of what that means.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And the last is sort of an observation I shared with the professionals of the room when we were talking, is again, I only see the part of the iceberg that's above the water line. And so I don't know the ins and outs, nor am I supposed to know the ins and outs. But it seems clear to me that there had been on a couple of occasions or run up to a settlement of various issues between various parties, various settlements that for whatever reason that I don't know, just didn't materialize. And it's hard for me to understand and it's not really my job to understand why that is in terms of deal terms. That's not what I'm talking about. But what I'm talking about is the ability for people to make commitments and for the lawyers to, to come to court and explain where things are. So let me just explain it from my perspective.

Lawyers who represent clients make representations on behalf of clients. I take those representations as the position of those clients based on that lawyer's statements. And so I just want to be very clear going forward, that's how the system works. If it doesn't work that way, nobody can get anything done. And it works that way for a reason, so people can rely on statements made by counsel. And so for purposes of the case going forward, and frankly for this case and any other case, if lawyers come up and they tell me, Judge, here's where we are; this is what we're willing

to do. We agree to this; we don't agree to that. how I take it. And that's how it's considered for purposes of going ahead in court. So it means that the, the tough work is done before you get here in that sense and that I rely on the professionals to tell me where things are. So I just want people to be very clear because there's obviously lots of steps often after things are said to court, said in court about papering deals and various things. But when lawyers come in and say they have deals or they have agreements that's, that's significant. And I take it on that, I take it at face value. And, otherwise we, we pretty much have anarchy, not only in large cases and small cases, in any case if people can't rely on the representations of counsel. So those are some of the things we talked about. And, again, I think everybody's operating in good faith trying to, to move a challenging case forward. And I do appreciate the hard work of, of all the attorneys who are here, who are working. Everybody is working zealously to represent their client.

And I guess there's one last observation is the folks who are here in, in this room, are lawyers that I've had the pleasure of seeing in other cases. And some, even if I haven't seen, it's pretty clear that they're all very good at what they do. And that's important. I say this in Chapter 13, individual bankruptcy cases. A lot of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

bankruptcy is not intuitive. You can't necessarily figure out the challenges by, by sort of saying using your common sense. I know it sounds a bit awkward to say from a bankruptcy judge's point of view, but there are a lot of significant things that, that are there, whether it's the fact that, you know, the cost of the case comes out of recoveries because they are administrative expenses. There are a lot of things. And it's important to rely on bankruptcy professionals to get a sense of what those things are and how they impact your ability to move forward.

So I just encourage people because I know for some people they are now involved in the bankruptcy. They hoped never to be involved in the bankruptcy and this is not intuitive for them. They don't want to be here. I totally understand that. This is a problem, a challenge that we face in all cases. But I, I will say when I say in smaller individual cases under Chapter 13, Chapter 7, that's why you hire a lawyer. And so I would encourage you to get, to be attentive to the kinds of concerns that your lawyer identifies that, that may not strike you as something that you would have thought of before, before experiencing this case.

So with that, that's where -- that's sort of some of the comments that were passed along at the, at the conversation we had. Again, I thank everybody for their

patience and waiting on the, on the line and Zoom here for the hearing to resume. We have one matter left which is the exclusivity motion. But before we do that, I'm happy to hear from any other party who wishes to share any comment from our discussions.

All right. With that, I will turn the podium back over to debtor's counsel.

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Your Honor. Sean O'Neal, Cleary Gottlieb on behalf of the debtors. We're here today to request an extension of the exclusive periods for filing and soliciting a plan. We had asked for a 30-day extension from today until November 22nd, 2023.

We believe that an extension is warranted for a number of reasons. Courts typically apply nine factors.

I'm not going to go through all of those nine factors, but I would like to focus on three of those factors with your, your court's permission: good faith progress on the plan, reasonable prospects for filing a viable plan, and the existence of unresolved contingencies.

So starting with good faith progress on the plan, we have been working, and I would say tirelessly, on several different work streams and several different versions of the plan. We have or had perhaps the Creditor Choice Plan, which was a modified version of the Toggle Plan which was intended to give creditors greater rights and protections,

but ultimately to give creditors the chance to express a preference between the what we call the No Deal Plan. That is a plan that does not provide for a settlement with DCG and just provides that we distribute our, our assets that we have available and then that would cause a litigation to be made in order to recover from DCG on account of a variety of different claims. That's the No Deal Plan. And then we also had the DCG Deal Plan, which was based on the agreement in principle.

So we have been working on that and we had tried to pull together protections including, you know, exploding ballots in the event that we didn't follow the creditor preferences, but we've now had to put that to the side. We put the Creditor Choice Plan to the side. And now, as we said in our reply, we are focusing solely on the No Deal That No Deal Plan, as I said, would basically distribute the assets that we currently have, set up a process by which claims against DCG and other parties could Importantly under this No Deal Plan is we have be pursued. proposed this would all be driven by a plan administrator who would be appointed and selected by the creditors and overseen by a new board, and an oversight committee comprised of a broad range of creditors, digital asset creditors, as well as dollar creditors. And basically, the plan administrator would pursue those claims.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Now, why did we, why did we switch from the kind of the Creditor Choice Plan to the No Deal Plan? And that's, it's relatively obvious, not an easy decision, but an obvious decision. That was forced upon us by the New York Attorney General's complaint. That complaint seeks a number of things, but one of the things that it seeks is to prevent DCG and Gemini from doing business in New York. And what that means is sitting here today, it was difficult for us to pursue a path, a plan where creditors were being asked to take a seven-year credit risk. That is the form of a loan from DCG to pay over \$830 million over seven years. It was difficult sitting here today for us to pursue a plan that, that relied on that with this New York Attorney General overhead.

In addition, we were not able to reach conclusion with the DCG team and with the creditors committee on the terms of the debt. Now part of that's related because of the, the New York Attorney General complaint. But we were unable to reach those, those terms, agreement on those terms. So that's why we have now, we have decided, we have determined to pursue the No Deal Plan.

We've been updating that No Deal Plan over many months. Your Honor may recall that a No Deal Plan term sheet was filed on Day 1 in this case. And then in June, we actually filed another, an actual No Deal Plan. So this is

not a new thing for us. It's just, it is updating it and we have been soliciting comments from the creditors. And we have an intent to file that No Deal Plan as early as, as tonight.

Now that said, I don't want folks to be confused about this. We believe that a reasonable settlement that is approved by the parties is the best way to go. We believe that that will provide for greater recoveries. And so we are, we are asking the parties-in-interest, particularly DCG and Gemini to work quickly because we have a closing opportunity to work quickly to try to reach a conclusion on a consensual deal that would be approved by the ad hoc group, by the creditors committee, and by the debtors. don't have much time to do this. And we've been trying to do it, but we cannot stop the No Deal Plan to allow these discussions to end -- or to continue endlessly. So we want to put some discipline in the process and continue prosecuting the No Deal Plan, including at the November 7th disclosure statement hearing.

Aside from the plan, I think we've made other progress. We've been working tirelessly on a, on a distribution model. As Your Honor has heard before, there have been intercreditor issues about a distribution model. We want to be sure that we have a distribution model that works and is consistent with the bankruptcy code for the No

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Deal Plan.

We want to try to maximize in-kind distributions while also recognizing the parameters of the bankruptcy code.

In addition, we've been engaged in pretty intense litigation with Three Arrows Capital liquidators. The goal there was to try to move as quickly as possible. In the event that we weren't able to settle the issue, we wanted to be able to have a judgment in time for us to, to obtain our confirmation timeline.

And, you know, we are also very happy to announce, as we announced yesterday in our agenda letter, that we've reached an agreement in principle with Three Arrows Capital and I mentioned that earlier today.

So we have made a fair amount of progress even outside of, of the plan. And I think even if you just look at reaching an agreement in principle on Three Arrows

Capital alone, some would say that that would justify an extension of exclusivity.

In terms of whether or not we have a viable plan, at this point, the plan that we are pursuing is the No Deal Plan. And as I said, we're trying to file that as early as tonight. I've already described the content of that plan at a high level. It's exactly the kind of plan in terms of purpose, you know, that I think the ad hoc group and others

are seeking. There are going to be disagreements around certain aspects of that plan, but the basic gist of it, as I've described, distributing assets that we have, pursuing litigation against DCG and other parties, all under the supervision of a plan administrator, a new board, and an oversight committee, all appointed and comprised of creditor representatives. We're not even looking for control over that process.

We do believe that terminating exclusivity at this point in time would be bad. We're pursuing exactly the kind of plan that the objectors would pursue. That is the, the No Deal Plan. We do believe that giving that to a nonfiduciary at this point in time would be destabilizing and expensive and confusing for creditors and really ultimately serve no purpose because we are pursuing a No Deal Plan.

And again, I just want to be clear that we want to continue to encourage the parties to settle. We think it's incumbent upon DCG and Gemini to clear out the New York Attorney General complaint issues and any other regulatory issues that may arise. Even in a litigation process, even under the No Deal Plan, that needs to be resolved because otherwise, the estates will just be in a race against the regulators. We will have a wasting asset potentially. So regardless of whether we do No Deal Plan or settlement,

those issues need to be resolved.

I think the last point I would like to talk about is just unresolved contingencies. We have made progress on some of the big unresolved contingencies, right? We had the FTX issue and the settlement that we reached. We moved a claim or set of claims from 3.6 billion down to 1.75. And now we have the agreement in principle with Three Arrows Capital. But there remains unresolved contingencies. And one of the big ones, Your Honor, is potential disputes with Gemini and obviously potential disputes with DCG.

We've spent so much time in this court about the disputes with DCG but we haven't spent a lot of time about disputes with Gemini. And here the, the main unresolved contingency there is that back in August of 2022, Genesis delivered approximately 31 million shares of GBTC shares. That's Gray Scale Trust BTC shares. It's kind of a proxy for BTC, but not exactly. It's a trust that holds BTC. We delivered those shares and at the time that we delivered those shares, they were delivered to Gemini as agent for all of the earn users.

When we put the gates up, that is when we paused withdrawals and redemptions on November 16th, that is exactly the moment that Gemini purported to foreclose on those GBTC shares. At that time, I think approximate value of the shares was 284 million. Fast forward a few months

later, we had tried during -- right after November 16th, to reach a conclusion with our creditors and see if we could actually avoid this bankruptcy process through some kind of consensual deal. We weren't able to do that. And so we filed on January 19th.

At the time that we filed the cases on January

19th, those GBTC shares were worth, valued -- and it's just

a market value -- were valued at 354 million. So they moved

from 284 million to 3 54 million. Today, those shares which

continue to be held by Gemini, if you use Friday's prices,

are valued at \$731 million. So as you can see, that is

about a \$450 million increase from the foreclosure date.

And as we've always said, from Day 1, if you look at the first day declarations and some of the materials we filed, we've always disputed that foreclosure. We think that that was not a proper foreclosure, that a foreclosure did not occur, and that Gemini continues to hold those shares. They have not distributed those shares to the earned users. They are holding on to those shares. So we don't think there has actually been a foreclosure and this is in contrast to, to what others in the case have done.

So we say this, Your Honor, because this is an unresolved contingency that we're going to have to deal with probably in conjunction with or prior to confirmation. And you'll be hearing more about that I'm sure, not only from

us, but from Gemini's counsel as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I would, I just want to, you know, I don't think we've spent a lot of time on that and I don't think that people understand all of the facts underlying what I just said. But we need to resolve that as well. something that's going to happen overnight. We've been at this since really January trying to resolve those issues. And Your Honor may recall that in the February term sheet as part of that, what we did is we agreed, now this a nonbinding agreement, but the agreement in principle that was followed with the Court said that we would use the petition date value, not the foreclosure date value. Roughly what? 70 million, I think, change between the petition date and the alleged foreclosure date. So we agreed that we would use the petition date and then at that point in time, Gemini was going to put \$100 million into the into the deal. only for earn users, just to be clear.

And so we have had lots of discussions and we've been, this was part of the mediation process. We were not able to reach a conclusion and we'll continue working on this, but we do need time, Your Honor, to do that.

So, with that, I think that that really concludes our presentation. We believe those three factors and all of the other factors suggests that really an extension of the exclusive period at least for 30 days -- I mean, honestly,

I'd like more, but we're not going to ask for more at this point in time -- for 30 days from, from today is appropriate.

THE COURT: All right, thank you very much. Let me hear from the committee, the official committee, and then I'll hear from the parties who filed objections.

MR. SHORE: Thank you, Your Honor. Chris Shore from White and Case on behalf of the official committee. As noted in our papers last night, we support a very limited extension of exclusivity, essentially a two-week extension to bridge us to the November 7th disclosure statement hearing. I'd like to make two points and be very brief and then discuss what we see as the proposed path.

First, building on comments Your Honor started with, the import of the AG action. Like it or not -- and people generally don't like it, and some people do -- the bankruptcy court is a court of limited jurisdiction as the Supreme Court keeps saying and it is really adept at dealing with dollars and cents issues. It gets harder when a party appears in a case, like the AG who has filed a proof of claim, but also starts actions in other courts, when they don't have dollars and cents at issues. And the bankruptcy court and bankruptcy processes tend to become more difficult by orders of magnitude when having to deal with parties who don't have dollars and cents at issue. And it rises in

other cases as well. It makes it even harder when the party, who doesn't have an economic stake in the outcome, purports to speak on behalf of those who do have an economic stake in the outcome. And as I'll explain in my second point, there's no doubt that if the AG prevailed on the AG action, every creditor will do worse in the context of distributions out of Genesis.

Let me explain that. My second point, there are only two paths out of this case and they need to be resolved immediately. One is a consensual path and as Mr. O'Neal just explained, a consensual path without the AG on board is exceedingly difficult because people are going to have to take tail risk on DCG and Gemini's continued operations in order to have an effectuated settlement. Neither of them have a sufficient liquidity right now to make any of these payments or make all of the payments necessary on the effective date of the plan.

I've said it before and I'll say it again, the deal that's on the table right now does not have creditor support. DCG and Gemini together in conjunction would have to come up with hundreds of millions of more dollars and we're going to have to get the AG on board with that resolution. There should be no reason why a party who's purporting to speak on behalf of victims would reject the victim's own consensual agreements to resolve their claims

in a difficult situation.

The other path out is the litigation plan, but to be clear -- and the reason we're talking about a short extension -- a litigation plan would mean or a No Deal Plan would mean a distribution of cash and crypto on hand to the extent permissible because the AG has taken, requested that certain actions not be permitted like the distribution of all coin and other crypto they believe to be a security. So it is unclear what an initial distribution would be.

Then there would be a literal race to judgment between the debtors here in their suit against DCG and the AG in their suit against DCG with that judgment needing to be obtained and executed on before the AG prevails on its claims. And by the way, everybody is speaking the same language about the wrongs committed by DCG and Gemini. So it's not like one party has a monopoly on the result. But that, that's what the litigation plan is. But if we're going to a litigation plan, we might as well get started because that action is filed. And we have an index number. No RJI has been filed, so we don't know the judge is, but that, that process is going to move forward. So creditors, to protect themselves, need to move forward.

That's why here's the four-part proposed plan that we, we kind of see being occasioned by this exclusivity hearing. I think debtors have to get on file immediately

the No Deal Plan. That is a plan that's currently supported by creditors. That is up for a disclosure statement hearing on November 7th. And in the absence of any change in the status quo, we need to get that up and out as quickly as possible.

The word came up and it comes up always in exclusivity hearings, I don't know why, but tirelessly, nobody ever uses that word in any other context, but it always gets applied to efforts. But truly here, the main parties-in-interest: the debtors, the committee, the ad hoc groups of creditors, DCG, and Gemini need to work tirelessly to get to a deal if one can get done.

Third, we show up on November 7th. One of two things happens. One, everybody or some large group of people get up and read into the record the deal in principle with DCG and/or Gemini, the state of the art at that point as to what they are willing to do outside of a No Deal Plan. As Your Honor expressed, that will bind people to some extent. People are going to have to be very clear about what the material terms are, what the conditions precedent are. Obviously resolution of the AG action may be a condition. So it doesn't have to be fully baked, but Your Honor needs to be able to have at that point a record as to whether or not a plan is -- a settlement plan is viable or not viable and whether the creditors wishes are not being

satisfied and whether the debtors are or not using exclusivity as a sword. All of those factors.

If on November 7th, parties don't show up with the settlement that they're willing to read into the record with sufficient support to cause the status quo to change, then we just go forward on the disclosure statement hearing on the No Deal Plan. The debtors will have, in the interim, made additional modifications to the disclosure statement, but we'll hear or Your Honor can hear any objections that exist to the disclosure statement with respect to the No Deal Plan. At that point, I think the exclusivity hearing becomes a nonissue because you'll have a deal on file that the debtors are prosecuting with the support of the creditors. And if there is a deal in principle, subject to documentation, we may have to do one additional adjournment of the disclosure statement with sufficient time to allow a plan to be amended, documentation to be done, with plan supplements to follow. And again, I think exclusivity becomes less of an issue because in that deal we posited, there is the support of the debtors, the UCC, the ad hoc creditor groups, DCG and/or Gemini with a path to move forward.

But terminating exclusivity today in the context of what has happened and the consequences to these debtors' estates with the additional layer of complexity with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

multiple plans being on file, it should wait two weeks. And if we can get a deal done, great. And if not, as I said, we've got a plan that seems to be supported by everybody other than DCG and Gemini. And they'll have whatever objections they have at the disclosure statement hearing to the No Deal Plan.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. SHORE: You're welcome.

THE COURT: All right. Let me hear from the objectors. So I'll start with the ad hoc group.

MR. ROSEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Brian
Rosen, Proskauer Rose, on behalf of the ad hoc group. Your
Honor, as we make very clear in our papers, the ad hoc group
represents approximately \$2.4 billion worth of claims
against the debtors. These are a combination of USD and
crypto or digital-asset-based claims as I think were the
words that were used by Mr. O'Neal.

Your Honor, it's been that group that has been really working very, very hard the last few months to try and address the ongoing demands of both the debtors and the UCC to put forth a viable plan that is acceptable to the creditor constituencies. In that regard, Your Honor, one of the most telling points was to try and reach closure on something called the distribution model, which was referenced by Mr. O'Neal. And many, many hours were

undertaken to bring closure because of the difference of perspectives of USD and crypto-based creditors as to what they would be entitled to in either a deal or a no deal situation.

And, as we included in our papers, we believe that

-- we know that there has been an agreement by the USD and
crypto-based creditors on both a deal and no deal basis. I
know that the debtors made comment in their papers that
there was no understanding on a no deal scenario, but that's
incorrect, Your Honor. As far as we know, and we represent
the creditors who have said that we have reached an
agreement, the dispute, unfortunately, has been raised by
questions that have been brought to the forefront by the
debtors and potentially the UCC, though we're not even sure
about that. But clearly the debtors professionals are
suggesting that it's not something that they would agree to.
But I just want to be clear that the creditors have agreed
on what the distribution should be between dollar-based and
crypto-based claims.

It's because of the fact that this is a liquidation. It's a liquidating plan, Your Honor, and the debtors are but caretakers in this situation. They really need to listen to what the creditors have been seeking throughout the entire process. The creditors, led by the ad hoc group, have been making it very, very clear that -- and

certainly we filed something with the court, Your Honor -that the agreement in principle with DCG reached by the
debtors and the UCC was not something that the creditors, as
a whole, was supported. And it was based upon that, Your
Honor, that we undertook to try and come up with something
that would be acceptable to creditors.

We labored long and hard to try and come up with what we referred to as the revised proposal and that we've included in our documentation that we filed in the objection, Your Honor. And it was that revised proposal that we have already submitted to DCG. There's been comments made in the debtor's papers about nonbinding agreements. And what we've tried to do there, Your Honor, is tell the debtors and tell the UCC that based upon statements of support that we got from all of our constituency, they would not support the Toggle Plan or now rebranded as the Creditor Choice Plan and that they wanted to go forward in the event that there was no deal with DCG on a litigation only plan.

I don't know whether or not it's been our pleadings, our statements, our efforts to try and reach closure or it's the New York AG complaint that they cite to, that caused the debtor to morph over to the no-deal scenario. But we're happy that they have. At the same time, though, Your Honor, we have been advocating for an

agreement with DCG to the extent that one can be reached.

And we, of course, have left to the debtors at this point in time whether or not we could ultimately reach closure with Gemini although the creditor group as a whole, and I'm sure the UCC, has its perspective as to whether or not something could be reached with Gemini.

But, Your Honor, our greatest concern with respect to the motion which is currently before you today, is, are we going to go forward or is there going to be another lapse or is there going to be -- and I'll use the word that we've talked about before -- a backtracking here? We want to make sure that there isn't. We want to terminate exclusivity today. The debtor has told us that they're prepared to file a No Deal Plan today or this evening and we support that. And we've, in fact, given comments to that proposal and most of our comments, Your Honor, have been to illuminate the fact that the debtors are mere caretakers and that everything here is really creditor-dependent.

And in that regard, Your Honor, we've even suggested that the ad hoc group be co-proponents of that plan because we wanted to make sure that the debtors understood that the creditors are supportive of a No Deal Plan if one can be reached. And we believe, Your Honor, that addresses the exclusivity issue. There is no reason to continue the process if the debtor is prepared to file a

plan that has creditor support right now. That is the way we should be going, Your Honor. We should not be extending for 30 days more. We should not even be extending, as Mr. Shore suggests, two weeks more.

I understand that there's a very, very small window here to try and reach closure with DCG and Gemini. We support that. We've tried that process. We know we're waiting to hear back from DCG with respect to our proposal that we gave them, Your Honor. But if, in fact, we move forward on a joint proponent plan, that takes care of the exclusivity issue. We're moving forward on the No Deal Plan. If, in fact, something is done that promotes a settlement of all parties, of course we will support that because that is what we've already given to the debtors. That's already what we've given to DCG.

So Your Honor on that basis, we would say, please do not extend further, whether it's two weeks or 30 days, or 30 days or two weeks. Don't do it. The creditors' voices have been heard. They say they want to be involved in this process. Let's terminate exclusivity on the filing today and let the debtors file that plan or, more importantly, let us be co-proponents of that plan which deals with the exclusivity issue. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Let

me hear from the other objecting party.

MR. AULET: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Kenneth
Aulet of Brown Rudnick for the fair deal group. Your Honor,
we are pretty happy with where things are today. We are
very gratified to learn that the debtors are prepared to
file a No Deal Plan that everybody can support.

Unfortunately, it wasn't filed today.

There are details that matter in a No Deal Plan and we want to see what it is in that plan and make sure that there's nothing hidden that we can't support. But for one of those issues, I was very gratified to hear that the debtors are not seeking control of the post-litigation trust. I just like to reiterate Mr. Rosen's comments that we could have had a No Deal Plan on file that everybody could support. And I wish we had that today to avoid this hearing.

That said, to avoid repeating anything Mr. Rosen said, to the extent that the Court is inclined to extend exclusivity, we would also urge that it be for a very short time. A plan should be on file this week. Tonight would be great as long as it's a plan that all creditors are going to support. We don't want to be here on November 7th arguing about details about who should control the liquidating trust or anything else like that.

If we have such a plan, great. Let's go forward

on November 7th. And when it comes to a DCG deal -- and we signed on to the proposal made by the ad hoc group on what a deal with DCG could look like that would, we would support -- we would not urge the Court to allow a further extension on November 7th to get to a deal, however. We think that this needs to be put on a really tight clock. DCG can hit the bid by November 7th, get a deal that everybody can support, and get something that can be put on file with broad support with -- for a deal with DCG.

And if there's no deal with DCG, we are prepared, as Mr. Rosen's group is, to go to litigation. Obviously, the New York Attorney General's action complicates things, but we do not believe that it makes litigation a worse outcome here. We are prepared if DCG does not hit the bid, to go forward with litigation and believe that that would be the value maximizing solution here.

So in that respect, we urge the Court, if the Court is inclined to grant an extension of exclusivity, that it be conditioned on the filing of a No Deal Plan no later than the end of this month and it be extended only to November 7th when, if the plan is not broadly acceptable to the creditor body, we can have one final, hopefully, exclusivity hearing. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Any other party that wishes to be heard that has not yet been heard?

MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

Anson Frelinghuysen, Hughes Hubbard and Reed, for Gemini

Trust Company. We've covered already the exclusivity. I

want to address some of the comments made by other counsel

regarding what we see is an enormous gating issue to any

plan moving forward, which is the determination of the size

of Gemini's deficiency claim.

Mr. O'Neal talked about half of the collateral which valued at 730-ish million dollars. There's another half of the collateral as well, another 32 million shares of GBTC, another 730-ish million dollars altogether or close to \$1.5 billion of collateral that's at issue and needs to be resolved for any distribution plan to move forward.

Mr. Rosen, Mr. O'Neal have both discussed the existence of distribution plans and distribution agreements. Those have not been addressed with Gemini or agreed to with Gemini, even though Gemini represents somewhere between a third and a quarter of the entire estate's claimant population by value and 99 percent of its claimants by number.

These, these parties that are advancing a plan right now that are seeking either exclusivity or to be joint proponents are advancing a plan that funnels value away from retail lenders, the Gemini lenders, and directly towards institutional lenders that they represent. It is not an

acceptable outcome for Gemini. And it's one that we'll fight very hard. We've been working for months to -- with the debtors primarily -- to reach a resolution with respect to the collateral. We've not reached a resolution and we expect that it's likely going to require judicial determination.

I was glad to hear Mr. O'Neal say that we would be doing that on a schedule concurrent with plan confirmation.

That sounds like the right schedule. We'll be engaging with him on that and with the court on that as well. I think that covers everything, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. With that, any other party that wishes to be heard?

MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, if I could just close it out if you don't mind. I would just say just in, in terms of the statements of Gemini's counsel with respect to another bucket of GBTC shares, this was the reference I had spoken about, the shares that were delivered in August and then allegedly foreclosed upon in November. I think counsel is referring to an additional bucket of GBTC shares that were never delivered in November. There was a, there was a pledge agreement that was drafted, but the pledge agreement provided that the pledge would only apply upon delivery of those shares. And those shares were never delivered. And if we had delivered those shares in November, you would also

have before you, Your Honor, an avoidance action to seek to avoid the delivery of those shares because that would have been on an account of an antecedent debt.

So we have different views on that. We don't think that the -- I think if you look at their proof of claim, I think they characterize that additional 31 million shares is held within a constructive trust. And I think we all know when we go toward the constructive trust argument, what that normally means in bankruptcy court. So we'll, we'll leave that one to the side. That's an issue for another day.

But I, I do want to also make it clear, because it's important because people are listening, nobody's trying to take value from anybody. Nobody's trying to take value from the earn users. We're fiduciaries. We have an obligation, as does the committee, to maximize creditor recoveries for all creditors. And we have a bona fide dispute about how you treat the value of the collateral. And so, we'll deal with that later. That's not an issue for today, but I did just want to, to make those quick remarks.

I'm not going to respond to other things. There's always a lot of he said/she said. So we'll just kind of leave that to the side for now. But I do want to say, Your Honor, that we believe we really need 30 days. We believe that just extending it to November 7th isn't going to do

much for us because then on November 7th, we'll just be
having the same exact argument we're having today. People
can always terminate exclusivity, right? People can file a
motion and they can seek to terminate exclusivity. But,
Your Honor, I don't want to have to spend the estate
resources doing another set of motions, having another set
of objections for just a two-week extension. It does not,
to us, seem to be a good use of, of the estate resources.
If folks want to try to terminate, they can try to terminate
and we can hear that on the, on the seventh. I think that's
also a waste of time, but there's no reason to just give us
such a short time frame. We are at this pivotal moment in
this case. Either way, we have to resolve the New York
Attorney General issues. Mr. Shore, as Mr. Shore said, it's
equally relevant in the litigation world as it is in the
settlement world. We urge the parties to use the next two
weeks to try to get to a deal. But in the meantime, let's
not argue over exclusivity. Let's try to get to a plan
that's either we can continue the No Deal Plan. We will
continue the No Deal Plan. We'll file it tonight. We can
do that. But in the meantime, we urge everybody to continue
discussions on the settlement and to do that very quickly
because this train is moving and this train will be at the
station on November 7th and it's going to be a No Deal Plan.
So parties have only certain amount of time to try to reach

a settlement. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. I appreciate all the papers that were filed and all the argument of counsel and I'm prepared to make a ruling. I won't spend much time on the standard, which you all know, but I'll just summarize briefly the determination for cause to extend exclusivity under Section 1121(d) is a fact-specific inquiry and the Court has broad discretion extending or terminating exclusivity. See, for example, the most cited case on this, In Re Borders Group Inc, 460 B.R. 818, 821 through 22, (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2011). Among the factors that are looked at in determining whether to grant an extension of exclusivity are the three that were highlighted here: existence of good faith progress towards reorganization, whether debtor has demonstrated reasonable progress for filing a viable plan, and the third is whether unresolved contingency exists. The other ones that I think are pretty relevant here is the one on the size and complexity of the case and whether the debtor is paying its bills and whether it's made progress in negotiations with creditors and the amount of time that's elapsed. So those are also cited in the Borders case, which is cited In Re Adelphia Communications Corporation, 352 B.R. 578 at 587 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y 2006).

So the filing of the pleadings here demonstrate

progress towards reorganization and also relevant to the prospects of filing a viable plan and coordinate and cooperation among the estate and creditors. That is the debtors started out with a plan, small "p", in their initial motion and by the time they filed their reply, had moved to the No Deal Plan. And they have abandoned their efforts for whatever reason and people can take -- some people could take credit and maybe it's the New Yorker Attorney General, maybe it's the advocacy of various ad hoc groups, but it's on -- it doesn't -- I don't need to decide that. What I can see though is that the debtors have decided to proceed on a path as a backdrop that everybody can get behind.

And that's significant. In fact, everybody who just objected got up and said we support the No Deal Plan and we're happy to see it here. There may be some differences on the details, but the objecting parties were both happy to see it go forward. There was a request to say, well, let's get that filed before the of the month. I have the commitment stated on the record that it's going to get filed this week. So that's progress.

There's obviously also other progress. We've talked about the FTX settlement and the Three Arrows settlement, so there's clearly been progress. The No Deal Plan demonstrates reasonable prospects for filing a viable plan. There are unresolved contingencies. We've all been

talking about them. We know what they are. And I'm not going to repeat the recitation of them from the parties.

It's clearly a complex and large case. It's clear that there's sufficient time needed, I think, to file the No Deal Plan. And so I think it really comes down to whether the debtor is seeking an extension of exclusivity to pressure creditors to submit to the debtor's reorganization demands. I think that the change to a focus on the No Deal Plan, I think should resolve concerns about that. It should lower the blood pressure for people in the room when they're negotiating. Obviously, everybody agrees, we should leave open the possibility of a more global resolution. I would - I'm not going to waste my oxygen explaining why that's a good idea because you all know it. I think you've all said it.

Now I will agree that this needs to happen quickly. And so at this point, we're sort of parsing time frames that are all short. My general approach in these circumstances is to grant the amount that people are getting behind here, November 7th, and then see where we are. I'm not anxious to wait -- waste estate resources. So what I will do is grant the debtors and the parties' ability to, if there is a need for additional time at that point, to make an oral application. You all have the papers. You've all explained your reasoning. You can supplement that orally at

the hearing on November 7th depending on where we are. No need to, to spend any more time and money. And that means that there really isn't a whole lot of downside to going ahead November 7th with the disclosure statement with the No Deal Plan.

If there are substantive issues on the No Deal Plan, there are substantive issues on the No Deal Plan.

we'll deal with them in the fullness of time. But everybody agrees that that's the right step to take.

So a couple of things that I wanted to address that were comments that were made. One is, again, to loop back to the notion that I think everybody here is operating in good faith. I think the fact that the debtors have -- are here where they are today based on the comments that have been made, I think, is evidence of that. I know for a lot of folks, there's significant financial hardship to be creditors here. This is a sad reality of a lot of bankruptcies, but the fact that it happens in a lot of bankruptcies doesn't make it easier or any less significant or painful in this one. And so we're all sensitive to that.

That said, it is my experience that litigation that is far flung in multiple jurisdictions among multiple parties in different lawsuits is, is not necessarily and usually not the best result. That doesn't mean you have to settle. Sometimes people settle; sometimes they don't. And

there's lots of reasons for that. I'm not here to judge that. I'm just here to urge the parties to continue to work as hard as they can in good faith to try to reach a resolution that can be presented at the November 7th hearing. I would suspect that that would be a, a good result. A settlement does mean everybody has to say ouch a bit, but we are all mindful that the cost of continued litigation in forums here and elsewhere will be borne by the estate and it means it's borne by the creditors. And these are novel issues, which means it's interesting for me as a judge to write opinions, but it means it's expensive for you all to litigate.

So I certainly urge people -- I think I'm preaching to the choir based on the comments here today -- to continue to work as hard as possible in terms of trying to reach a resolution. And progress here in this case, I don't know exactly how that will impact the New York Attorney General case, but I, I can't imagine it will do anything but improve the prospect of that litigation, either being resolved or being handled in a more efficient and streamlined manner. It can only help. And I think with the New York Attorney General's office here, I think they would say the same thing because after all, if we're talking about getting resolution among creditors for the current circumstance, it just has to help by definition.

So you all hold the pen, though, right? You all have control of, of the narrative right now. The Attorney General case is recently filed. It's in its infancy. And like all litigation, it's uncertain. This case has progressed quite far. You all have had a lot of discussions. And at this point, I'm hopeful that there's a path forward that can make real meaningful progress for all constituencies in the case.

that going forward or backtracking here, I think everybody shares that concern. But again, I'm happy to make myself available in any way I can to help in any way I can short of I'm not here to mediate the case. That's not my job.

Somebody else was doing that. And but to the extent that people think a conversation would be helpful, I'm happy to make myself available. And even if it's something as simple as saying, we want to essentially alert the Court where, where we are or what commitments we're willing to make so that everybody's clear on the record where people stand.

So I do think that proceeding on November 7th resolves concerns, I think, that still exist here. Again, I understand the estate not wanting to spend more time on filing papers, and so I'm going to relieve you of that burden. We'll handle it at the hearing because essentially I'll be getting that update anyway from folks and can make a

call based on the standards. So no need to, to kill any more trees or waste any more ink on that. You all are very good at what you do and I have every confidence you can update me in real time on that on the seventh.

And so with that, I think, those are, those are my comments and that's my ruling to extend exclusivity up to and including November 7th without prejudice for the debtors seeking additional time as is appropriate. And I will say as a practical matter, the debtors do need to file the New Deal Plan -- I'm sorry, the No Deal Plan. And that needs to happen. So it's clear that some additional time is necessary and I think the time up through the seventh is entirely appropriate for all the reasons that have been discussed here on the record today. Give me a minute to check my notes to see if there's anything else I wanted to address.

Okay. I think that covers it. I'm happy to address any more specific issues that anybody thinks would be helpful to address, but I think for purposes of making a ruling on exclusivity, that covers it.

MR. O'NEAL: Your Honor, Sean O'Neal, Cleary

Gottlieb on behalf of the debtors. In terms of the

exclusive period for the, for the solicitation, what is the

date for that? I think November 7th is clearly the

exclusivity for plan filing. Is there, what is the --

Page 61 1 THE COURT: I don't have a calendar in front of 2 I think it's two weeks. Right? Yeah. So do you have 3 a suggestion? It's probably good to be absolutely 4 positively clear on the record about this. 5 MR. O'NEAL: December 7th. 6 THE COURT: All right. December 7th sounds 7 appropriate to me. Is that -- Mr. Rosen? 8 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, that's fine. They had asked for 30 days after the November 22. So --9 10 THE COURT: Yeah, I couldn't remember what was in 11 the papers. Thank you for assist. 12 MR. ROSEN: Pearl Harbor Day is fine with me, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: That's probably not something I want 15 to invoke here today. I'm very confident that we're moving 16 in the other direction. 17 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, I did have a process 18 point. 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 MR. ROSEN: Our, our pleadings, Your Honor, were 21 not only an objection, but it was also a cross-motion to 22 terminate exclusivity. 23 THE COURT: That will be carried as well. 24 MR. ROSEN: That's fine. I just wanted to be 25 clear.

Page 62 1 THE COURT: Yeah. No, that's fair. Again, what 2 I'm trying to do is preserve everybody's rights without anybody spending any additional time or money on this that 3 might be otherwise spent in a more productive way. 4 5 MR. ROSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 7 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: It's just a scheduling --8 Anson Frelinghuysen for Gemini Trust Company -- just a 9 scheduling matter on when we need to oppose the disclosure 10 statement if it's going to be published today for a hearing 11 on November 7th, what's the timing for objections? 12 MR. O'NEAL: I believe that's already been set, 13 Your Honor. It's one week before the hearing. 14 THE COURT: So that's the usual time. 15 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: And usually we have more time 16 though, with the disclosure statement. It usually is filed 17 three weeks ahead, not two weeks ahead. 18 MR. O'NEAL: There has been a disclosure statement 19 We're updating, we're amending the existing -on file. 20 THE COURT: I would think given where we are that 21 a week should work because, again, I'm trying to free up 22 time for people to focus on other things, but I'd say a 23 week. And then so is November 7th a Wednesday --24 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: It's a Tuesday. 25 THE COURT: Tuesday.

Page 63 1 MR. O'NEAL: October 31st is the existing date for 2 the objection deadline. 3 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: Also Tuesday. THE COURT: Also Tuesday. 4 5 MR. O'NEAL: We're filing today. 6 THE COURT: All right. So the filing today and then the reply would be --7 8 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: I don't, I think we'd have to 9 -- we had correspondence with your chambers on this. Let us 10 just a second. I think we have that in here. 11 THE COURT: Sure. That's why I'm asking you. 12 know there's been some back and forth, but I confess, I 13 haven't, I'm not completely up to date on that. 14 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: I'm, I'm hoping that one of 15 the associates who may be listening to these proceedings 16 will be sending me an email. 17 THE COURT: All right. Well, also we can just 18 pick something that makes sense now. So if it's Tuesday, 19 one thing to do is to close the business on Friday. Monday 20 gets a little dicey just because I don't quite know what the 21 rest of the week looks like. And I try not to infringe 22 anybody's weekend, although I recognize that's probably a 23 bit of an artificial concern in the sense that you all --24 MR. O'NEAL: So, Your Honor, the 3rd at 5 p.m., 25 would that be --

Page 64 1 THE COURT: Is that the Friday? 2 MR. O'NEAL: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. Absolutely fine. MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Nothing better to do with the weekend 6 than to read about exclusivity. Oh, wait a minute. Oh, 7 yeah. Even better. Even better. 8 MAN 2: Your Honor, that's how we've spending our 9 weekends. 10 THE COURT: All right. Any other housekeeping 11 matter or frankly anything at all to address here today? 12 All right. Again, I appreciate folks all the time and 13 effort and thought that's gone into this. Again, I am 14 heartened by the very professional and candid good faith 15 discussions among all the parties. I am cautiously 16 optimistic that you all can make good progress between now 17 and November 7th. And I will leave you with one thought. 18 It is much better to create the results you want than to 19 leave it to other forces. So with that, the Court is 20 adjourned. Thank you very much. Good to see you all in 21 person. 22 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 23 1:44 PM) 24 25

	Pg 65 of 92		
		Pa	age 65
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		Page	Line
5	Leasing real estate	18	12
6			
7	Judge Newsom application	19	21
8			
9	Seward Kissel fee application	22	19
10			
11	Exclusivity	60	6
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 66 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 6 Sonya M. deslarski Hyd-7 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 23 24 25 Date: October 25, 2023

[& - 88,998] Page 1

&	15 24:11	279 19:1	6
& 2:13	16th 19:6	284 35:25 36:9	6 65:11
1	35:22 36:1	29th 18:23	60 65:11
	17th 19:6	3	684 2:12
1 20:18 31:24	20:15	3 36:9	6th 20:10
36:13	18 65:5	3.6 35:6	7
1,854.36 2:16	18,000 17:19	3/30/2023 2:15	
1.5 50:12	1854.36 21:2	30 20:12 29:11	0,1,
1.75. 35:6	19 65:7,9	37:25 38:2	
10 8:4 24:11	19th 21:8 36:5	47:3,18,19	730 50:9,11
100 21:14 37:16	36:7	52:24 61:9	731 36:11 740 20:13
10004 1:13 4:4	1:44 64:23	300 66:22	740 20:13 767 5:10
10004 1:13 4:4 10006 3:6 5:18	1st 18:23	30th 17:13	770 2:8
10000 5:0 5:18 10014 5:4	2	31 20:12 35:15	77 0 2:8 77 5 2:5 16:21
10014 5:4 10020 6:5	2 64:8	52:6	775 2:3 16:21 785 2:18
10020 0.3 10036 4:11,18	2.4 43:14	31st 63:1	7th 32:18
10030 4.11,18 1006 5:3	20 21:7	32 50:10	38:11 41:3,13
1000 5.5 10153 5:11	2004 12:6	330 19:8 66:21	42:3 48:22
10133 3.11 11 2:19 4:10	2006 54:24	352 54:23	49:1,5,7,21
8:3,8	201 5:3	354 36:8	52:25 53:1,24
11020 3:16	2011 54:11	3rd 63:24	56:20 57:1,4
111,247.50	2022 35:14	4	58:4 59:20
2:16	2023 1:15	4 20:15	60:7,24 61:5,6
1121 54:7	17:14 18:23,25	450 36:12	62:11,23 64:17
113,101.86.	29:12 66:25	460 54:10	8
21:1	2024 18:25	5	
11501 66:23	21 65:7		8.5 22:5
11:24 1:16	22 54:10 61:9	5 63:24	8/29/2023 2:10
12 23:22 65:5	65:9	5/1/2023 2:10	80 21:14
12151 66:7	22nd 29:12	5/31/2023 2:15	816 21:9,18
1221 3:15	23-10063 1:3	50,000 22:17	818 54:10
1271 6:4	24 1:15	52 19:9	821 54:10
12:15 23:24	24,076.86. 21:8	54 36:9	830 31:11
12:30 24:11	25 66:25	57,000.00 2:10	831 2:3
13 27:25 28:17	25th 19:4	578 54:23	88,998 21:2
	27,000 17:16	587 54:23	
	17:17		
	***	ral Solutions	

[9,593.09 - anson]

9	ad 4:9 8:25 9:2	admitted 16:25	agreements
9,593.09 2:10	32:12 33:25	17:9	27:10 39:25
99 50:19	41:10 42:20	admitting 17:3	45:13 50:15
	43:10,12,13	advancing	agrees 25:10
a	44:24 46:20	50:21,23	56:11 57:9
aaron 16:8	49:2 55:9	advocacy 55:9	ahead 27:3
abandoned	adam 6:7 9:12	advocating	57:4 62:17,17
55:6	addition 31:15	45:25	akiko 7:1
abelson 3:19	33:5	affidavit 20:12	alert 59:17
8:18	additional	afternoon 24:6	alianna 1:25
ability 26:12	11:15 42:8,15	24:15 43:11	alleged 37:14
28:10 56:22	42:25 51:20	48:2	allegedly 51:19
able 11:22	52:6 56:23	ag 38:15,20	allow 32:15
12:14 31:15	60:8,11 62:3	39:5,5,11,22	42:16 49:4
33:8,9 36:4	address 43:20	40:6,12,13	allows 13:13
37:20 41:23	50:4 57:10	41:21 45:22	altogether
above 26:3	60:16,18,19	agenda 2:3	50:11
absence 41:3	64:11	10:15,16,18	amended 2:3
absolutely 23:2	addressed	11:14,19 12:2	10:15,15 42:17
61:3 64:3	50:16	16:19 18:20	amending
acceptable	addresses	20:8 33:12	62:19
43:21 45:6	46:24	agent 4:2 35:19	americas 3:15
49:21 51:1	adelphia 54:22	aggregate 21:1	6:4
account 30:6	adept 38:18	agree 16:5	amount 21:1,7
52:3	adjourned	25:23,23 27:1	22:5,20 33:15
accurate 66:4	64:20	27:1 44:16	53:25 54:21
action 38:15	adjournment	56:16	56:19
39:6 40:19	42:15	agreed 37:9,14	amplification
41:21 49:12	administered	44:17 50:16	11:2
52:1	8:8	agreement	anarchy 27:12
actions 38:21	administration	11:20 12:17	announce
40:7	17:25	25:19 30:8	33:11
actual 20:24	administrative	31:19 33:13,17	announced
31:25	28:7	35:7 37:10,10	33:12
actually 31:25	administrator	44:6,12 45:2	anson 4:6 8:22
36:3,20	30:20,25 34:5	46:1 51:22,22	50:2 62:8
		ral Solutions	

[answer - ballots] Page 3

answer 12:14	appointed	asha 3:9	august 18:23
19:14	18:22 30:21	ashlyn 6:19	19:6 35:14
antecedent	34:6	ashmead 5:20	51:18
52:3	appointment	10:10,11 12:24	aulet 4:20 9:4
anticipate	18:25	20:5,5,21,23	9:5 48:2,3
17:18	appreciate	21:25 22:7	authority
anxious 56:21	24:9,12 27:17	23:1,6	17:14,22
anybody 23:2	54:2 64:12	aside 32:20	authorize 2:5
52:14 60:18	approach	asked 15:20	authorizing
62:3	56:18	16:25 29:11	16:19
anybody's	appropriate	31:9 61:9	available 19:12
63:22	19:25 20:21	asking 32:9	22:8 30:5
anyway 59:25	22:21 24:20	63:11	59:12,16
apologies 11:9	25:22 38:3	aspects 34:2	avenue 3:15
appear 20:20	60:8,13 61:7	assembled	5:10 6:4
appearance	approved 32:7	14:21	avoid 36:3
9:23 10:4,5	32:12	asset 30:23	48:15,17 52:2
appearances	approximate	34:24 43:16	avoidance 52:1
8:10,12 9:16	35:24	assets 30:4,17	aware 18:24
9:21	approximately	34:3	awkward 28:3
appears 38:20	17:19 35:15	assist 61:11	b
applicable	43:14	associates	b 1:21 4:6
20:1 22:23	april 18:25	63:15	19:17
application 2:8	20:8	assume 12:1	b.r. 54:10,23
2:12,13 12:25	argue 53:18	attached 19:17	back 21:7 24:2
18:21 19:9,14	arguing 48:22	attend 19:3	24:4,11 29:6
19:17,19,21,25	argument 52:8	attentive 28:19	35:14 47:8
20:7,11,14,17	53:2 54:3	attorney 25:11	57:12 63:12
20:17,24 21:5	arguments	31:5,13,18	backdrop
21:20 22:20	12:3	34:20 49:12	55:12
56:24 65:7,9	arrows 6:2	53:14 55:8	backtracking
applications	9:11 11:18,21	58:18,22 59:2	46:11 59:10
21:6	33:6,13,17	attorneys 3:4	backup 25:22
applied 41:9	35:7 55:22	3:13 4:2,9,16	bad 34:10
apply 29:14	art 41:16	5:2,9,16 6:2	baked 41:22
51:23	artificial 63:23	27:17	ballots 30:12

[balluku - cause] Page 4

balluku 6:17	22:16 23:9,12	brian 4:13 9:1	candidly 13:13
bankr 54:11,23	29:13 32:6,7	43:11	capable 15:11
bankruptcies	34:9,12 37:23	bridge 38:11	capital 6:3
57:18,19	40:8 44:5	brief 24:7	9:11 11:19,21
bankruptcy	46:23 49:13,15	38:12	33:6,13,18
1:1,11,23 19:8	52:24,24 62:12	briefly 17:11	35:8
24:16 27:25	best 32:7 57:24	54:6	care 47:10
28:1,4,9,12,13	better 9:18	bright 14:3	caretakers
32:25 33:3	13:24 14:1	bring 44:1	44:22 46:17
36:3 38:17,22	64:5,7,7,18	broad 30:23	carried 61:23
38:23 52:9	bid 49:7,14	49:9 54:8	carter 7:8
based 25:17	big 35:4,9	broadly 49:21	case 1:3 3:12
26:18 30:8	billion 35:6	brought 22:8	8:8,18 12:17
43:16 44:2,7	43:14 50:12	44:13	13:9,10,11,18
44:18,19 45:4	bills 54:19	brown 4:15 9:5	13:24 14:4
45:14 57:14	bind 41:18	48:3	15:9 19:24
58:14 60:1	binding 37:10	btc 35:16,17,17	20:1,10 22:2
basic 34:2	bit 25:4 28:3	bucket 51:17	22:14,22 24:18
basically 30:16	58:7 63:23	51:20	24:25 25:2,6,8
30:24	blood 56:10	building 38:14	25:12 26:23,24
basis 14:23	board 30:22	burden 59:24	26:24 27:13,16
15:12 44:7	34:5 39:11,22	burr 16:9	28:6,22 31:24
47:17	body 49:22	business 17:24	36:21 38:8,20
battery 4:3	bona 52:17	18:13 31:7	39:9 53:13
5:17	borders 54:10	63:19	54:9,19,22
beginning	54:22	c	56:3 58:16,18
14:14	bore 11:1	c 3:1 8:1 66:1,1	59:3,4,8,13
behalf 8:14 9:2	borne 58:8,9	calendar 8:3,5	cases 13:7 15:7
9:3,6,10,13,25	bowling 1:12	9:18 14:25	15:7 18:22
10:11 20:25	bradley 6:12	15:12,25 16:14	27:12,12,22,25
25:15 26:17	break 23:19	61:1	28:16,17 36:6
29:9 38:8 39:3	24:19	call 16:8 30:2	39:1
39:24 43:12	bremer 3:9	60:1	cash 40:5
60:22	10:8 16:16,17	called 43:24	categories 22:3
believe 11:13	16:23 17:5,10	caned 43.24 candid 14:9	cathy 7:10
12:5,24,24	18:17	64:14	cause 30:5 42:5
14:22 17:21		UT.1 T	54:6

	T			
caused 45:23	chapter 2:19	35:6 39:25	52:18	
cautiously	8:8 27:25	40:14 43:14,16	colleague 10:8	
64:15	28:17,17	44:19	combination	
cede 16:14	characterize	cleaned 15:25	43:15	
cents 38:19,22	52:6	clear 14:24	come 10:17	
38:25	chatting 24:8	16:3 24:23	12:17 22:14	
ceo 16:24	check 24:11	26:5,19 27:6	26:13,24 27:9	
certain 19:9	60:15	27:23 34:17,19	39:21 45:5,7	
22:9,12 34:2	chester 7:3	37:17 40:3	comes 28:6	
40:7 53:25	chimed 21:23	41:19 43:13	41:6 49:1 56:5	
certainly 11:13	chimes 10:21	44:17,25 52:12	comment 29:4	
12:16 14:2,17	choice 29:23	56:3 59:19	44:8	
45:1 58:13	30:14 31:2	60:11 61:4,25	comments 12:3	
certificate	45:17	clearly 44:15	18:5 20:16	
21:18	choir 58:14	55:23 56:3	28:24 32:2	
certified 66:3	chris 8:17 38:7	60:24	38:14 45:12	
chair 13:5	christian 3:10	cleary 3:3 8:13	46:15,16 48:13	
challenge	10:6 18:18	10:7,8 11:16	50:4 57:11,14	
25:14 28:15	christopher	16:17 18:19	58:14 60:6	
challenges	3:18	29:9 60:21	commercial	
28:2	circumstance	client 27:19	17:12,15	
challenging	58:25	clients 25:16	commitment	
25:8 27:16	circumstances	26:16,17,18	55:19	
chamber's	20:1 21:16	clock 49:6	commitments	
13:12	22:22 56:19	close 12:14	26:13 59:18	
chambers 13:7	cite 45:22	50:11 51:14	committed	
13:8,22 14:19	cited 54:9,21	63:19	40:15	
15:2,15,20	54:22	closing 32:10	committee	
16:3 21:10	city 19:3	closure 43:23	2:14 3:13 5:16	
23:13,18 24:5	claim 35:6	44:1 45:22	8:16 10:12	
24:14,19 63:9	38:21 50:7	46:3 47:6	18:4,6 20:7,9	
chance 30:1	52:6	cno 21:8	20:25 30:22	
change 10:15	claimant 50:18	code 19:8	31:16 32:13	
37:13 41:3	claimants	32:25 33:4	34:6 38:5,5,8	
42:5 56:8	50:19	coin 40:8	41:10 52:16	
changed 10:18	claims 11:21	collateral 50:8	common 28:2	
	30:7,18,25	50:10,12 51:4		
	Veritevt I e	1011		

communicati	conditioned	consistent	conversations	
54:23	49:19	21:11 32:25	32:25 13:21 14:10	
company 4:2	conditions	constituencies	cooperation	
8:23 50:3 62:8	41:20	43:22 59:8	24:3 55:3	
compensation	conference	constituency	coordinate	
2:9,13 21:3	13:7,8,12,22	45:16	55:2	
22:16	14:19 15:2,16	constructive	coordination	
complaint	16:3 23:13,18	52:7,8	22:6	
25:11 31:5,5	24:5,14,19	consulted 18:4	copy 10:14	
31:18 34:20	conferred	contained 21:9	copying 19:10	
45:22	14:20	content 33:23	corporation	
completed 15:1	confess 63:12	contested	54:23	
completely	confidence	14:25	correct 12:5,7	
63:13	60:3	context 39:6	12:8	
complex 56:3	confident	41:8 42:23	corresponde	
complexity	61:15	contingencies	63:9	
42:25 54:18	confirmation	29:19 35:3,4,8	cost 28:6 58:7	
complicates	33:10 36:24	55:25	counsel 2:14	
49:12	51:8	contingency	8:12 10:7,12	
comprised	conflicts 22:13	35:14 36:23	10:19 16:22	
30:23 34:6	confused 32:5	54:17	17:8 18:15,19	
concern 46:7	confusing	continue 18:1	20:2,6,10	
59:11 63:23	34:14	21:5 32:16,17	26:22 27:14	
concerns 28:19	conjunction	34:18 36:10	29:7 37:1 50:4	
56:9 59:21	36:24 39:20	37:20 46:25	51:16,19 54:4	
concluded	connection	53:19,20,21	country 66:21	
64:22	23:16	58:2,15	couple 23:3	
concludes 23:9	consensual	continued	25:9 26:6	
37:22	32:12 36:4	17:25 24:14	57:10	
conclusion	39:10,11,25	39:13 58:7	course 12:10	
31:15 32:11	consensus	continues	17:22 22:7	
36:2 37:20	25:18	36:17	46:2 47:13	
concurrent	consent 16:4	contrast 36:21	court 1:1,11	
51:8	consequences	control 34:7	8:2,5,15,19,24	
condition	42:24	48:12,23 59:2	9:3,6,10,15	
41:22	considered	conversation	10:2,9,13,25	
	27:2	28:25 59:15	11:10 12:1,7	

[court - debtors] Page 7

-			
12:12,20 13:1	credit 31:10	d	34:16,22,25
13:23 15:4	55:8	d 6:14 8:1 54:7	36:4,23 37:16
16:7,22 17:2,6	creditor 29:23	65:1	38:24 39:19
17:22 18:7,9	30:12,14 31:2	date 36:12	40:4 41:1,12
18:11,22,23	34:6 39:6,19	37:12,12,13,14	41:15,17 42:7
19:18,23 20:4	42:21 43:22	37:15 39:17	42:11,12,14,19
20:19 21:17,22	45:17 46:4,18	60:24 63:1,13	43:2,6 44:3,3,7
21:23 22:18	47:1 49:22	66:25	44:7,9 45:18
23:2,8,11,14	52:16	david 6:15	45:23 46:14,22
24:6,15,16	creditors 2:15	day 19:5 23:7	47:11 48:3,6,8
26:13 27:3,7,8	3:14 5:16	29:11 31:24	48:14 49:1,3,5
35:11 37:11	29:25 30:1,21	36:13,14 52:11	49:7,9,10,19
38:4,17,17,18	30:23,24,24	61:12	52:19 53:17,19
38:23 43:7,9	31:9,16 32:2	days 37:25	53:20,24 55:6
45:1 47:25	32:13 34:14	38:2 47:3,18	55:14,23 56:5
48:18 49:4,17	36:2 40:21	47:19 52:24	56:8 57:5,6,7,8
49:18,24 51:10	41:2,11,25	61:9	60:10,10
51:12 52:9	42:14 44:2,7	dcg 17:13 30:3	dealing 38:18
54:2,8 59:17	44:11,17,23,24	30:6,8,18 31:7	deals 27:8,9
61:1,6,10,14	45:3,6 46:22	31:11,16 32:9	47:23
61:19,23 62:1	47:19 48:21	34:4,19 35:10	deandra 6:11
62:6,14,20,25	52:17 54:20	35:12 39:13,20	debt 31:17
63:4,6,11,17	55:3 56:7	40:11,12,15	52:3
64:1,3,5,10,19	57:17 58:9,24	41:11,16 42:21	debtor 1:9
court's 21:11	cross 61:21	43:4 45:2,11	45:23 46:13,25
29:17	crypto 40:5,8	45:18 46:1	54:15,19 56:6
courtroom	43:16 44:2,7	47:6,8,16 49:1	debtor's 8:12
8:10 9:21,22	44:19	49:3,6,9,10,14	10:19 16:19,24
10:3,23 11:2,3	currency 5:9	deadline 20:15	17:25 18:13,24
14:21	9:25	63:2	29:7 45:12
courts 29:14	current 58:24	deal 4:16 9:3,5	56:7
38:21	currently	25:21,21 26:11	debtors 2:5 3:4
covered 50:3	11:22 17:11	30:2,7,8,15,16	8:14 10:7
covers 51:11	18:3 30:17	30:19 31:2,21	16:18,20 17:11
60:17,20	41:1 46:8	31:22,23,25	17:14,17,21,23
create 64:18		32:3,12,15,18	18:1,2,20 29:9
		33:1,21 34:12	32:13 40:11,25
		22.1,21 2 1.12	

[debtors - doing] Page 8

	_	7100	
41:10 42:1,7	demonstrated	differences	discussions
42:13,20,24	54:15	25:1 55:16	25:6,18 29:5
43:15,20 44:8	demonstrates	different 13:12	32:16 37:18
44:14,15,22	55:24	29:22,22 30:7	53:22 59:6
45:3,14 46:2	department	52:4 57:23	64:15
46:17,21 47:14	5:1	difficult 31:8	dispute 44:12
47:22 48:5,12	depend 22:12	31:12 38:23	52:18
51:3 55:4,11	dependent	39:12 40:1	disputed 36:15
56:22 57:13	46:18	difficulty	disputes 35:9
60:7,9,22	depending	25:12	35:10,12,13
december 61:5	57:1	digital 5:9 9:25	distribute 30:4
61:6	deposit 17:17	30:23 43:16	30:17
decide 12:10	derar 16:25	directed 20:9	distributed
13:12 55:10	17:5	direction 61:16	36:18
decided 17:23	describe 11:23	directly 50:24	distributing
31:20 55:11	described	disable 22:13	34:3
decision 31:3,4	11:19 33:23	disagree 25:23	distribution
declaration	34:3	disagreements	32:22,23,24
16:23,24,25	desire 16:8	34:1	40:5,7,9 43:24
17:3,9	destabilizing	discipline	44:18 50:13,15
declarations	34:13	32:17	50:15
36:14	details 25:22	disclosure 2:19	distributions
deficiency 50:7	25:24 48:8,23	32:19 38:11	33:2 39:7
definitely 23:3	55:16	41:2 42:6,8,10	district 1:2
definition	determination	42:16 43:5	24:17
58:25	21:12 50:6	57:4 62:9,16	doc 2:3,5,8,12
degree 25:12	51:6 54:6	62:18	2:18
delivered	determined	discrete 20:9	docket 20:13
35:15,18,18,19	21:4 25:22	discretion 54:8	21:9,18
51:18,21,24,25	31:21	discuss 38:13	documentation
delivery 51:23	determining	discussed	42:15,17 45:9
52:2	54:12	24:21 50:14	documenting
demands 43:20	dicey 63:20	60:14	11:22 12:11
56:8	didn't 12:4	discussing 14:8	doing 11:24
demonstrate	dietrich 6:23	discussion 13:8	13:3 31:7 51:8
54:25	difference 14:8	13:18 19:22	53:6 59:14
	44:1	22:4 25:10	
		rol Colutions	

dollar 30:24	either 18:11	59:22 65:5	exclusivity
44:18	44:3 50:22	estate's 50:18	2:18 11:14
dollars 38:19	53:13,19 58:19	estates 34:23	14:20 15:3,6
38:22,25 39:21	elapsed 54:21	42:25	15:14 23:16
50:9,11	electronic	evening 46:14	29:3 33:19
doubt 8:11	18:14	event 25:12	34:9 38:10
39:5	elevated 25:4	30:12 33:8	40:24 41:7
downside 57:3	email 63:16	45:18	42:2,11,18,23
drafted 51:22	emerging	everybody	46:12,24 47:11
driven 30:20	25:18	10:22 15:13	47:21,24 48:19
dyer 6:18	eminently 15:4	24:25 25:20	49:18,23 50:3
dynamics	employees 18:1	27:18 28:25	50:22 53:3,4
13:25	encourage	40:14 41:14	53:18 54:7,9
e	28:11,18 34:18	43:3 48:6,14	54:13 56:6
e 1:21,21 3:1,1	ended 22:11	49:7 53:21	60:6,20,25
8:1,1 65:1 66:1	endlessly 32:16	55:12,13 56:11	61:22 64:6
earlier 33:14	engaged 33:5	57:8,12 58:6	65:11
early 32:3	engaging 51:9	59:10	excuse 18:19
33:22	enormous 50:5	everybody's	excused 23:1
earn 35:20	enter 2:5 9:22	24:3,9,12	executed 40:13
37:17 52:15	16:20 17:14	27:15 59:19	exercise 18:13
earned 36:19	18:8 21:13	62:2	exhibit 19:17
easier 57:19	entering 17:24	evidence 57:15	exist 42:10
easy 31:3	entire 44:24	evolving 9:17	59:21
ecf 16:21 19:1	50:18	ex 15:19	existence 29:19
economic 39:2	entirely 19:25	exact 53:2	50:15 54:14
39:3	60:13	exactly 16:7,12	existing 62:19
ecro 1:25	entitled 20:20	33:24 34:10	63:1
effective 39:17	44:3	35:17,23 58:17	exists 54:17
effectuated	entry 19:16	example 54:9	expect 24:10
39:14	equally 53:15	exceedingly	51:5
efficiency	essentially	39:12	expenses 2:10
14:24	38:10 59:17,24	excellent 14:19	2:16 19:10
efficient 58:20	estate 18:1	except 13:4	20:25 21:3,15
effort 64:13	19:11 22:11	exclude 13:17	22:17 28:7
efforts 41:9	53:5,8 55:3	exclusive 29:10	expensive
45:21 55:6	56:21 58:9	37:25 60:23	15:10 34:14

58:11	f	fide 52:17	find 12:19
experience	f 1:21 66:1	fiduciaries	19:24 24:24
13:20 57:21	face 27:11	52:15	finding 22:21
experiencing	28:16	fifth 5:10	finds 21:14
28:21	fact 15:13 25:4	fight 51:2	fine 11:10 61:8
expire 17:13	28:6 44:20	fights 15:9,14	61:12,24 64:3
explain 10:19	46:15,17 47:9	figure 25:7	64:3
13:2 26:14,14	47:12 54:7	28:1	firm 10:11
39:4,8	55:13 57:13,18	fike 6:11	22:1,10,20
explained	factors 29:14	file 32:3 33:22	firms 22:6
39:11 56:25	29:15,16 37:23	40:25 42:12	first 2:13 10:15
explaining	37:24 42:2	43:1 46:13,25	16:18 17:4
56:13	54:11	47:22 48:6,14	18:21 20:7,11
exploding	facts 17:11	48:20 49:8	22:19 23:3
30:11	19:25 22:22	53:3,20 56:4	24:22 36:14
express 30:1	37:4	60:9 62:19	38:14
expressed		filed 16:21,23	flashpoint 15:8
41:18	fair 4:16 9:3,5 33:15 48:3	18:8,24 20:11	flung 57:22
extend 2:18	62:1	20:13 21:7,8	focus 29:16
47:18 48:18	faith 24:24	21:18 23:15	56:8 62:22
54:6 60:6	25:7 27:15	25:17 31:24,25	focusing 30:15
extended 49:20	29:17,20 54:14	36:5,6,15 38:6	folks 9:21
extending 47:2	57:13 58:3	38:20 40:19,20	10:16 14:7
47:3 52:25	64:14	45:1,9 48:7	23:14,19 27:21
54:8	far 44:10 57:22	54:3 55:5,18	32:5 53:9
extension	59:5	55:20 59:3	57:16 59:25
29:10,11,13	fast 35:25	62:16	64:12
33:19 37:24	february 37:8	filing 2:19	follow 30:12
38:10,10 40:4	fee 2:10,15	25:10 29:10,18	42:18
49:4,18 53:7	12:25 20:7,14	47:21 49:19	followed 37:11
54:12 56:6	21:4,6 22:20	54:16,25 55:2	forced 31:4
extensions	65:9	55:24 59:23	forces 64:19
19:4	feel 23:3	60:25 63:5,6	foreclose 35:23
extent 13:6	feels 16:10	final 2:8 18:21	foreclosed
40:6 41:19	fees 21:1,2,14	21:6 49:22	51:19
46:1 48:18	22:16	financial 57:16	foreclosure
59:14	22.10		36:12,15,16,16
	Veritevt Lea	1014	

36:20 37:12,14	friday 63:19	34:20 53:14	19:23 24:1
forefront	64:1	55:8 56:18	26:19,23 27:3
44:13	friday's 36:10	58:18 59:3	29:15 34:1
foregoing 66:3	front 61:1	general's 31:5	36:23 37:6,16
foreign 6:2	fruition 12:18	49:12 58:22	38:1 39:12,22
form 31:10	ftx 22:11 35:5	generally	40:18,21 41:19
formal 12:4	55:22	38:16	46:9,9,10 47:2
18:6 20:16	fullness 57:8	genesis 1:7 4:9	48:21 51:5
forth 43:21	fully 41:22	8:7 24:18	52:21,25 53:24
63:12	funnels 50:23	35:14 39:7	55:19 56:2,13
forums 58:8	further 47:18	getting 8:9,12	57:3 59:10,23
forward 11:18	49:4	56:19 58:24	62:10
12:9 15:2	g	59:25	goldberg 6:7
19:24 24:25	g 8:1	gist 34:2	9:12,13
26:19,23 27:16	gallagher 6:19	give 23:24 24:7	good 8:15,17
28:10 35:25	gates 35:21	29:25 30:1	8:19,21,24 9:1
40:21,22 42:6	gating 50:5	53:11 60:14	9:4,9,10,12,15
42:22 45:18	gbtc 35:15,24	given 46:15	9:24 10:2,6,9
46:9 47:10,11	36:7 50:11	47:14,15,16	10:10,13,24
48:25 49:15	51:17,20	62:20	11:7,10 13:2
50:6,13 55:17	gemini 4:2	giving 34:12	16:12,16 18:18
59:7,10	8:20,22 31:7	glad 51:7	22:2,10,10
found 13:20	32:10 34:19	glare 13:21	24:6,12,15,24
four 22:15	35:10,13,19,23	global 1:7 8:7	25:7 27:15,24
40:23	36:10,17 37:15	24:18 56:12	29:17,20 43:11
frame 53:12	39:20 40:15	go 11:11 15:24	48:2 53:8
frames 56:18	41:11,16 42:21	17:11 24:25	54:14 56:14
frank 13:21	43:4 46:4,6	29:15 32:7	57:13 58:3,5
frankly 26:23	47:6 50:2,16	42:6 45:18	60:3 61:3
64:11	50:17,17,24	46:9 48:25	64:14,16,20
free 23:4 62:21	51:1 62:8	49:11,15 52:8	gorrepati 6:20
frelinghuysen	gemini's 37:1	55:17	gotlieb 10:7,8
4:6 8:21,22	39:13 50:7	goal 33:6	gotshal 5:8
50:1,2 62:7,8	51:16	god 11:11	9:25
62:15,24 63:3	gemma 7:11	going 11:18	gottlieb 3:3
63:8,14 64:4	general 25:11	12:9 13:25	8:14 16:17
	25:24 31:14,18	14:1,12 15:10	18:19 29:9
		ral Calutions	•

[gottlieb - honor] Page 12

	1	1	
60:22	hamilton 3:3	32:22 47:20	hoc 4:9 8:25
grace 11:11	16:9,17 18:19	49:25,25 51:13	9:2 32:12
grant 18:12	hand 40:5	hearing 2:1,1,3	33:25 41:10
19:21 22:19	handle 59:24	2:5,8,12,18 8:9	42:20 43:10,12
49:18 54:12	handled 58:20	9:20 10:16	43:13 44:25
56:19,22	hanging 23:25	11:12 12:25	46:20 49:2
gratified 48:5	happen 37:6	13:3,23 14:13	55:9
48:11	56:16 60:11	17:7 18:11	hold 12:15
gray 35:16	happened 16:6	19:20 20:14	21:7 36:17
great 25:20	22:13 42:24	21:5 29:2	59:1
43:2 48:21,25	happens 16:10	32:19 36:25	holdbacks 21:6
greater 29:25	19:12 41:14	38:12 40:25	21:12
32:8	57:18	41:2 42:6,11	holdco 1:7 8:7
greatest 46:7	happy 14:11	43:5 48:16	17:16,19 24:18
green 1:12	14:12,13 17:7	49:23 57:1	holding 36:19
greg 5:6 9:8	18:12 19:14,21	58:5 59:24	holds 35:17
gregory 6:13	22:19 29:3	62:10,13	hon 1:22
group 4:9,16	33:11 45:24	hearings 13:4	honestly 37:25
5:9 8:25 9:2,3	48:4 55:15,17	15:6 41:7	honor 8:13,17
9:5 10:1 32:13	59:11,15 60:17	heartened	8:21 9:1,4,12
33:25 41:14	harbor 61:12	64:14	9:24 10:6,10
43:10,12,13,18	hard 26:9	held 36:10 52:7	10:24 11:7
44:25 46:4,20	27:17 43:19	help 58:21,25	12:5,23 14:17
48:3 49:2,11	45:7 51:2 58:3	59:12	16:2,16 17:1
54:10	58:15	helpful 13:7,14	17:10 18:7
groups 41:11	harder 38:19	14:5,10 22:19	20:3,5,23 21:4
42:21 55:9	39:1	24:20 59:15	21:11,12,21
guess 10:14	hardship 57:16	60:19	22:7,15,25
21:24 27:20	harrison 6:21	hi 24:6	23:1,6,9 29:8
guided 13:24	hatch 6:22	hidden 48:10	31:23 32:22
14:15	hear 10:22	high 33:24	35:9 36:22
gymnastics	29:4 38:5,6	higher 25:13	37:8,21 38:7
25:13	42:9,9 43:9	highlighted	38:14 41:18,23
h	47:8 48:1,11	54:13	42:9 43:11,13
h 1:22	51:7 53:10	hire 28:18	43:18,22 44:10
half 50:8,10	heard 18:10	hit 49:6,14	44:21 45:1,5
20.0,10	19:19 21:20,23		45:10,13,25
		1014	

[honor - job] Page 13

	I	I	Ţ
46:7,16,19,23	ideas 14:3	indiscernible	intuitive 28:1
47:2,9,17,24	15:24	18:25 19:7,9	28:14
48:2,3 49:23	identifies	20:18	invoke 61:15
50:1 51:11,14	28:20	individual	involved 21:5
52:1,24 53:5	illuminate	27:25 28:17	28:12,13 47:20
60:21 61:8,13	46:16	inefficient	involving
61:17,20 62:5	illustrative	15:12	22:11
62:13 63:24	22:2	infancy 59:3	ish 50:9,11
64:4,8	imagine 58:18	informal 20:16	islim 16:25
hope 19:24	immediately	information	17:6,9
hoped 28:12	39:10 40:25	11:1 22:23	issue 11:6 15:7
hopeful 12:18	impact 28:10	infringe 63:21	33:8 35:5
59:6	58:17	initial 40:9	38:25 42:19
hopefully	import 38:15	55:4	46:24 47:11,24
24:20 49:22	important 15:5	initiative 15:18	50:5,12 52:10
hoping 63:14	16:11 25:4	ink 60:2	52:19
host 15:8	27:24 28:8	inquiry 54:7	issues 13:12,13
hours 22:5	52:13	ins 26:4,5	13:16 15:10
43:25	importantly	instances	16:11 24:8
housekeeping	30:19 47:22	15:15	26:7 32:23
64:10	improve 58:19	institutional	34:20,21 35:1
hubbard 4:1	inclined 48:18	50:25	37:7 38:19,22
8:22 50:2	49:18	intended 29:25	48:11 53:14
hughes 4:1	included 44:5	intense 33:5	57:6,7 58:10
50:2	45:9	intent 32:3	60:18
hughs 8:22	includes 19:9	intercreditor	item 20:8
humor 24:12	including	32:23	j
hundreds	19:10,20 21:1	interest 13:18	j 2:9 3:18 7:9
39:21	30:11 32:18	15:21 23:17,17	jack 6:25
hybrid 10:16	60:7	32:9 41:10	jack 6:23
13:3	incorrect 44:10	interesting	january 36:5,6
hyde 2:25 66:3	increase 36:12	58:10	37:7
66:8	incumbent	interim 2:12	jeffrey 6:14
i	34:19	2:13 20:7,11	jessica 5:13
iceberg 26:3	incurred 20:25	22:19 42:7	9:24
idea 14:19	index 40:19	introduction	job 26:10
25:24 56:14		11:8	59:13
23.27 30.17		rol Colutions	37.13

[john - loan] Page 14

	T		T
john 5:20 7:14	33:2,24 34:10	law 20:1 22:23	lily 7:13
10:10 20:5	35:16 36:3	lawsuits 57:23	limited 38:9,17
joint 9:10,13	40:24 52:22	lawyer 14:2	line 20:19
47:10 50:22	kinds 28:19	28:18,19	23:20 24:1
jointly 8:8	kissel 2:13 5:15	lawyer's 26:18	26:4 29:1 65:4
jordan 7:7	10:11 20:6,11	lawyers 26:13	liou 5:13 9:24
judge 1:23	65:9	26:16,24 27:9	9:25
18:21 19:1,5,7	knauth 6:23	27:21	liquidating
19:11,15 24:6	know 11:1	layer 42:25	44:21 48:23
24:16 26:25	13:24,25 19:23	lead 14:9 20:10	liquidation
40:20 58:1,11	21:7 26:4,5,9	learn 48:5	44:21
65:7	28:3,6,11	learned 8:5	liquidators
judge's 28:4	30:11 33:11,25	12:16 14:2	9:11,14 33:6
judges 14:3	37:2 40:20	lease 2:6 16:20	liquidity 39:15
judgment 8:6	41:7 44:6,8,10	17:12,13,15,16	listen 44:23
17:24 18:14	45:20 47:7	17:18,24 18:2	listening 52:13
33:9 40:10,12	52:8 54:5 56:1	leasing 65:5	63:15
judicial 51:5	56:14 57:15	leave 52:10,23	literal 40:10
june 31:24	58:17 63:12,20	56:11 64:17,19	litigate 58:12
jurisdiction	knowing 23:25	led 11:4 44:24	litigation 2:14
38:17	knowledge	ledanski 2:25	20:6 22:9,11
jurisdictions	11:5	66:3,8	22:13 30:5
57:22	kyle 7:2	left 29:2 46:2	33:6 34:4,21
justice 5:1	l	legal 66:20	40:2,4,17,18
justify 33:18	labored 45:7	legge 6:16	45:19 48:12
k	landegger 6:13	lenders 4:9	49:11,13,15
k 20:13	landlord 17:13	50:24,24,25	53:15 57:21
katie 7:5	lane 1:22 24:7	lenox 6:12	58:8,19 59:4
keep 24:1	24:16	letter 11:19	little 25:3
keeps 38:18	language 40:15	33:12	63:20
ken 6:24	lapse 46:9	level 10:19	live 19:6 20:19
kennedy 6:18	large 27:12	11:12 12:21	llc 1:7 4:2 8:7
kenneth 4:20	41:14 56:3	13:2 33:24	8:23 24:18
9:4 48:2	largely 13:3	liberty 3:5	llp 2:14 3:3 4:1
kill 60:1	latham 6:1	life 23:5,21	4:8,15 5:8,15
kind 14:25	9:13	likely 51:5	loan 31:11
25:21 31:1	7.13		
25.21 51.1			

[log - morning] Page 15

log 24:2,2	38:12 39:15,16	maximize 33:2	micros 11:4
long 45:7 48:21	43:13 46:11,21	52:16	middle 14:14
look 33:16	48:9 52:12,20	maximizing	mike 6:16
36:13 49:3	54:4 56:23	49:16	million 31:11
52:5	57:19 59:7,9	mckuhen 7:2	35:15,25 36:8
looked 54:11	59:11,16,18,25	mean 13:8	36:9,9,11,12
looking 34:7	64:16	37:25 40:4,5	37:13,16 50:9
looks 63:21	makes 25:12	57:24 58:6	50:10,11 52:6
loop 57:11	39:1 49:13	meaningful	millions 39:21
looped 15:21	63:18	59:7	mind 51:15
lot 13:15 27:25	making 44:25	means 12:1	mindful 58:7
28:4,8 35:12	60:19	25:25 27:3	mineola 66:23
37:3 52:22	man 20:18	31:8 52:9 57:2	minott 7:3
57:3,16,17,18	64:8	58:9,10,11	minute 60:14
59:5	manges 5:8	media 19:6	64:6
lots 27:7 37:18	9:25	mediate 13:10	minutes 24:11
58:1	manner 58:21	59:13	miranda 6:22
lower 56:10	march 20:12	mediated	model 32:22,23
lukaszewski	market 36:8	13:11	32:24 43:24
6:24	massey 6:25	mediating 14:7	modifications
m	material 41:20	mediation 19:1	42:8
m 5:6	materialize	19:3,5,6,13,22	modified 29:24
made 12:3	26:9	37:19	moment 35:23
made 12.3		I .	
26.22 30.6	materials	mediator 2:9	53:12
26:22 30:6	materials 36:14	mediator 2:9 13:9 18:22	53:12 monday 63:19
32:20 33:15			
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8	36:14	13:9 18:22 members 11:16	monday 63:19
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2	36:14 matsuda 7:1	13:9 18:22 members	monday 63:19 money 57:2
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20	13:9 18:22 members 11:16	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude 38:24	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20 22:22,24 29:2 48:8 60:9 62:9 64:11	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned 15:5 33:14 mere 46:17	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16 month 17:15 17:16 49:20 55:18
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude 38:24 main 35:13	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20 22:22,24 29:2 48:8 60:9 62:9 64:11 matters 9:17	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned 15:5 33:14 mere 46:17 michael 7:12	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16 month 17:15 17:16 49:20 55:18 months 22:15
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude 38:24 main 35:13 41:9	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20 22:22,24 29:2 48:8 60:9 62:9 64:11 matters 9:17 11:14,15,19,21	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned 15:5 33:14 mere 46:17 michael 7:12 microphone	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16 month 17:15 17:16 49:20 55:18 months 22:15 31:23 35:25
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude 38:24 main 35:13 41:9 make 10:3,5,22	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20 22:22,24 29:2 48:8 60:9 62:9 64:11 matters 9:17 11:14,15,19,21 15:1,24 22:8	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned 15:5 33:14 mere 46:17 michael 7:12 microphone 11:9	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16 month 17:15 17:16 49:20 55:18 months 22:15 31:23 35:25 43:19 51:2
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude 38:24 main 35:13 41:9 make 10:3,5,22 12:4 14:4 16:3	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20 22:22,24 29:2 48:8 60:9 62:9 64:11 matters 9:17 11:14,15,19,21	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned 15:5 33:14 mere 46:17 michael 7:12 microphone 11:9 microphones	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16 month 17:15 17:16 49:20 55:18 months 22:15 31:23 35:25 43:19 51:2 morning 8:15
32:20 33:15 35:3 42:8 44:8 45:12 49:2 50:4 54:20 57:11,15 magnitude 38:24 main 35:13 41:9 make 10:3,5,22	36:14 matsuda 7:1 matter 1:5 12:3 14:9,24 16:18 18:16,20 22:22,24 29:2 48:8 60:9 62:9 64:11 matters 9:17 11:14,15,19,21 15:1,24 22:8	13:9 18:22 members 11:16 mention 15:6 59:9 mentioned 15:5 33:14 mere 46:17 michael 7:12 microphone 11:9	monday 63:19 money 57:2 62:3 monopoly 40:16 month 17:15 17:16 49:20 55:18 months 22:15 31:23 35:25 43:19 51:2

9:1,4,9,10,12	necessarily	24:17 25:11	48:22 49:1,5,7
9:15,24 10:2,6	14:3 28:1	30:22 31:4,7	49:21 51:19,21
10:9,10,13,17	57:23	31:13,18 32:1	51:25 52:25
16:16 18:18	necessary	34:5,19 45:22	53:1,24 56:20
morning's 8:9	17:25 20:25	49:12 53:13	57:1,4 58:4
morph 45:23	21:15 39:16	55:8 58:17,22	59:20 60:7,24
motion 2:5,18	60:12	60:9	61:9 62:11,23
11:15 12:6	need 9:19 12:2	newsom 18:21	64:17
14:20 15:3	12:10 35:1	19:1,5,7,11,15	number 9:17
16:19,24 17:6	37:5,21 39:9	65:7	16:21 19:1
17:8 18:4,4,8	40:22 41:4,11	newsome 2:9	20:13 21:9
18:10,12,24	44:23 52:24	nice 18:15 23:6	29:14 31:6
23:16 29:3	55:10 56:23	23:20	40:19 50:20
46:8 53:4 55:5	57:2 60:1,9	night 38:9	numerous 19:4
61:21	62:9	nine 29:14,15	ny 1:13 3:6,16
motions 11:17	needed 56:4	nobody's 52:13	4:4,11,18 5:4
53:6	needing 40:12	52:14	5:11,18 6:5
move 15:1	needs 10:3,5	non 37:9	66:23
22:24 23:4,13	12:13 34:22	nonbinding	0
27:16 28:10	41:23 49:6	45:12	o 1:21 8:1 66:1
33:7 40:21,22	50:12 56:16	noncontrove	o'clock 8:3,5
42:21 47:9	60:10	14:25	o'neal 3:8 8:13
50:13	negisa 6:17	nonfiduciary	8:13 10:21
moved 35:5	neglected 15:6	34:13	11:7,11,13
36:8 55:5	negotiating	nonissue 42:12	12:5,8,16,23
moving 19:24	56:11	normally 52:9	14:15,17 22:25
47:11 50:6	negotiations	noted 38:9	23:9,12 29:8,8
53:23 61:15	54:20	notes 60:15	39:10 43:17,25
multiple 43:1	neither 39:14	notice 2:3	50:8,14 51:7
57:22,22	never 28:13	20:14,14	51:14 60:21,21
mute 24:1	51:21,24	notion 57:12	61:5 62:12,18
n	new 1:2,13 2:6	novel 58:10	63:1,5,24 64:2
n 3:1 8:1 65:1	3:6,16 4:4,11	november	object 16:3
66:1	4:18 5:4,11,18	17:13 29:12	objected 55:14
name 17:4	6:5 16:20	32:18 35:22	objecting 48:1
narrative 59:2	17:15,16,18,24	36:1 38:11	55:16
1141140110 37.2	18:2 19:3,6	41:3,13 42:3	33.10

objection	occur 12:18	32:11	papering 27:8
14:23 17:2	36:17	oppose 62:9	papers 23:15
20:15 21:18	october 1:15	optimistic	25:17 38:9
45:10 61:21	20:15 21:8	64:16	43:13 44:5,8
63:2	63:1 66:25	oral 56:24	45:12 54:3
objections	office 9:7,9	orally 56:25	56:24 59:23
11:21 18:6	16:2,4 17:12	order 16:19	61:11
20:16 38:6	17:15 18:3	18:5,5,8,15	parameters
42:9 43:5 53:7	19:21 21:22	19:1,8,16 21:9	33:3
62:11	58:22	21:11,14 30:6	park 4:3 5:17
objectors	official 2:14	39:14	parsing 56:17
34:11 43:10	3:13 5:16 8:16	orders 38:24	part 13:11
obligated	10:12 38:5,8	ordinary 17:21	16:14 26:3
17:16	offs 19:10	organism 15:9	31:17 37:9,19
obligation	oh 12:24 64:6,6	ouch 58:6	40:23
52:16	okada 7:4	outcome 39:2,4	parte 15:19
observation	okay 17:6	49:14 51:1	participating
24:22 26:1	60:17	outs 26:4,5	23:18
27:20	old 66:21	outside 13:21	particularly
observations	olympic 25:13	16:10 33:16	15:7 32:9
24:21 25:9	omnibus 2:1	41:17	parties 13:13
obtain 33:9	once 24:15,17	overhead	13:24,24 14:11
obtained 40:13	ones 35:9	31:14	15:20 16:5
obvious 31:3,4	54:17	overnight 37:6	20:14 22:9,12
obviously 9:15	ongoing 43:20	overseen 30:22	23:15,17 24:8
11:14 13:9	open 9:20	oversight	25:19 26:7
19:23 27:6	13:23 24:1	30:22 34:6	30:18 32:7,9
35:10 41:21	56:12	own 39:25	34:4,18 38:6
49:11 55:21	operating	oxygen 56:13	38:24 41:10
56:11	24:24 25:7	р	42:3 47:13
occasion 13:15	27:15 57:12	p 3:1,1 8:1 55:4	50:21 53:16,25
occasionally	operations	p.m. 20:15	55:16 56:2,22
11:4 13:6 25:3	39:13	63:24	57:23 58:2
occasioned	opinion 25:1	page 65:4	64:15
40:24	opinions 58:11	paid 21:2	partner 8:18
occasions 26:6	opportunity	painful 57:20	party 10:3,4
	14:5 25:14	painiui 37.20	13:17,18 15:18
		ral Solutions	

18:10,11 19:19	percent 21:8	31:2,2,9,12,21	pledge 51:22
21:19,24 29:4	21:14,15 50:19	31:22,23,25	51:22,23
38:19 39:2,23	perfectly 11:10	32:3,15,18,20	pm 64:23
40:16 48:1	period 2:9,15	33:1,16,20,21	podium 16:15
49:24 51:13	2:19 18:23	33:22,23,24	29:6
pass 22:14	19:5 20:11	34:2,5,11,12	point 11:23
passed 28:24	21:3 22:16,21	34:16,22,25	14:13 15:5
path 24:25	37:25 60:23	39:17 40:2,4,4	28:4 33:21
25:1,20 31:9	periods 29:10	40:17,18,23	34:10,13 35:2
38:13 39:10,11	permissible	41:1,1,17,24	37:15 38:2
40:2 42:21	40:6	41:24 42:7,11	39:5,8 41:16
55:12 59:7	permission	42:17,17 43:3	41:23 42:11
paths 39:9	29:17	43:6,21 44:21	46:2 56:17,23
patience 8:4	permitted 40:7	45:16,17,19	59:6 61:18
24:3,9,12 29:1	persaud 1:25	46:14,21,23	points 38:12
paused 35:21	person 18:11	47:1,10,12,22	43:23
pay 17:16	64:21	47:23 48:6,8,9	population
31:11	perspective	48:14,20,21,25	50:19
paying 54:19	26:15 46:5	49:19,21 50:6	posited 42:19
payments	perspectives	50:13,21,23	position 26:18
39:16,16	44:2	51:8 53:18,19	positively 61:4
pearl 61:12	peter 7:9	53:20,24 54:16	possibility
pen 59:1	petition 37:11	55:2,4,6,14,24	56:12
people 8:10,11	37:13,15	55:25 56:5,9	possible 33:7
11:5 14:5,21	phil 8:18	57:5,7,7 60:10	41:5 58:15
15:19 20:20	philip 3:19	60:10,25	post 48:12
23:24 24:1	phone 8:11	plans 43:1	potential 13:22
25:23 26:12,22	24:9	50:15	35:9,10
27:6,13 28:11	pick 63:18	plaza 3:5 4:3	potentially
28:12 37:4	pin 12:12	5:17	15:16 34:24
38:16,16 39:12	pivotal 53:12	pleadings 19:2	44:14
41:15,18,19	plan 2:19	45:21 54:25	practical 14:9
52:13 53:2,3	25:21,21 29:11	61:20	60:9
55:7,7 56:10	29:17,18,20,23	please 20:19,21	preaching
56:19 57:25	29:23,24 30:2	47:17	58:14
58:13 59:15,19	30:3,7,8,14,16	pleasure 27:22	precedent
62:22	30:16,19,20,25		41:20

[preference - put]

Page 19

	41.15.40.14	20.17	10.22
preference	41:15 42:14	progress 29:17	protect 40:22
30:2	45:2	29:20 32:21	protections
preferences	principles	33:15 35:3	29:25 30:11
30:13	12:17	54:14,15,20	provide 20:8
preferred	prior 21:4,11	55:1,20,21,23	30:3 32:8
25:20	36:24	58:16 59:7	provided 51:23
prejudice 60:7	privileges	64:16	provides 30:4
prepared	20:20	progressed	proxy 35:16
11:22 46:13,25	probably 22:2	59:5	publicity 13:22
48:5 49:10,14	36:24 61:3,14	promotes	published
54:4	63:22	47:12	62:10
preparing 19:2	problem 16:9	proof 38:20	pull 30:11
present 6:10	28:15	52:5	purchase
presentation	proceed 16:22	proper 36:16	19:11
37:23	20:22 55:11	property 2:6	purported
presented 58:4	proceeding	16:20	35:23
presenting	14:23 59:20	proponent	purporting
11:17 16:18	proceedings	47:10	39:24
preserve 62:2	8:6 63:15	proponents	purports 39:3
presided 19:5	64:22 66:4	46:20 47:23	purpose 33:25
pressure 56:7	process 13:16	50:23	34:15
56:10	30:18 32:17	proposal 45:8	purposes 11:12
pretending	34:8,21 36:3	45:10 46:15	26:23 27:2
18:16	37:19 40:21	47:8 49:2	60:19
pretty 27:11,23	44:24 46:25	proposed 18:5	pursuant
33:5 48:4	47:7,21 61:17	18:8,15 21:9	17:12 19:8
54:17	processes	21:10,14 30:20	pursue 30:25
prevailed 39:5	38:23	38:13 40:23	31:9,12,21
prevails 40:13	productive	proscar 4:8 9:2	34:11
prevent 31:7	62:4	prosecuting	pursued 30:19
previously	professional	32:18 42:13	pursuing 19:1
21:4	2:8,12 21:6	proskauer	33:21 34:3,10
prices 36:10	64:14	43:12	34:15
primarily 51:3	professionals	prospect 58:19	put 12:12,13
principle 11:20	24:23 25:15	prospects	30:13,14 32:17
30:9 33:13,17	26:2 27:5 28:9	29:18 55:2,24	35:21 37:16
35:7 37:10	44:15		43:21 49:6,8

q	reaching 33:17	recognize 8:10	regulatory
quarter 23:22	read 41:15	63:22	34:20
50:18	42:4 64:6	recognizing	reimbursement
question 21:25	real 2:6 16:20	33:3	20:24
questions 18:7	59:7 60:4 65:5	recommend	reiterate 48:13
19:13 21:13	reality 57:17	16:5	reject 39:24
44:13	realize 11:6	record 13:8	related 2:6
quick 52:20	really 26:10	17:1 41:15,23	11:21 31:17
quickly 32:10	34:14 37:7,22	42:4 55:19	relatively 31:3
32:11 33:7	37:24 38:18	59:19 60:14	relevant 53:15
41:4 53:22	43:19 44:22	61:4 66:4	54:18 55:1
56:17	46:18 49:6	recover 30:6	relied 31:13
quite 9:16 11:6	52:24 56:5	recoveries 28:7	relief 2:6 18:13
59:5 63:20	57:3	32:8 52:17	19:7 22:4
quo 41:4 42:5	reason 25:5	redemptions	relieve 59:23
	26:8,21 39:23	35:22	rely 26:22 27:5
r	40:3 46:24	reed 4:1 8:22	27:13 28:8
r 1:21 3:1 5:20	53:11 55:7	50:2	remains 35:8
8:1 66:1	reasonable	reference	remarks 52:20
race 34:23	21:15 29:18	51:17	remember
40:10	32:6 54:15	referenced	61:10
raised 44:12	55:24	43:25	remote 13:4
randall 2:9	reasoning	referred 45:8	reorganization
18:21	56:25	referring 12:6	54:14 55:1
range 30:23	reasons 29:14	51:20	56:7
rather 25:3	58:1 60:13	reflect 18:5	repeat 56:2
reach 25:19	rebranded	reflected 20:12	repeating
31:15,19 32:11	45:17	regard 43:22	15:11 48:17
36:2 37:20	recall 31:23	46:19	reply 30:15
43:23 45:21	37:8	regarding	55:5 63:7
46:3 47:6 51:3	receive 17:7	20:17 50:5	represent
53:25 58:3,16	received 18:6	regardless	26:16 27:19
reached 11:20	20:16	17:23 34:25	44:10 50:25
15:18,20 33:13	recently 59:3	regular 15:11	representations
35:5 44:11	recitation	regulators	26:16,17 27:13
45:2 46:1,6,23	18:10 56:2	34:24	representatives
51:4			6:2 14:22 34:7

represents	results 64:18	rji 40:20	sat 8:4
43:14 50:17	resume 29:2	road 66:21	satisfied 42:1
request 18:12	retail 50:24	rodnika 7:8	savings 17:19
19:15,16 21:13	retained 20:8	room 16:10	18:2
29:10 55:17	returning 8:3	24:4 25:15,18	saw 12:2
requested	reviewing 19:2	26:2 27:21	saying 28:2
22:20 40:6	revised 45:8,10	56:10	38:18 59:17
require 14:6	revoked 20:21	rose 4:8 9:2	sazant 7:7
18:3 51:5	rhetoric 25:3	43:12	scale 35:16
research 19:10	ribeiro 3:10	rosen 4:13 9:1	scenario 44:9
resolution	10:6,7,24	9:1 43:11,12	45:24
25:13 39:23	18:18,18 20:3	48:17 50:14	schedule 51:8
41:21 51:3,4	richard 7:3	61:7,8,12,17	51:9
56:12 58:4,16	right 8:2,15,19	61:20,24 62:5	scheduled 19:4
58:24	8:24 9:6 10:2,4	rosen's 48:13	scheduling
resolve 11:20	10:9,13,14,25	49:11	62:7,9
37:5,7 39:25	12:20 13:1,1	ross 7:5	schwartz 6:15
53:13 56:9	15:4 16:7,12	roughly 37:12	sean 1:22 3:8
resolved 34:22	16:22 17:2,7	rudnick 4:15	8:13 24:16
35:1 39:9	18:9,11 19:18	9:5 48:3	29:8 60:21
50:13 58:20	19:20 21:17,22	rule 12:6,13	second 2:3
resolves 59:21	21:24 22:18,19	ruled 12:13	10:14 18:20
resources 53:6	23:11,14 24:3	ruling 12:2,4	39:4,8 63:10
53:8 56:21	24:4 29:6 35:4	54:4 60:6,20	section 19:8
respect 14:20	36:1 38:4	rulings 65:3	54:7
22:8,9 42:10	39:15,19 43:9	run 26:6	security 17:17
46:7 47:8	47:1,25 50:22	S	40:8
49:17 51:3,16	51:9,12 53:3	s 3:1 8:1 20:13	see 15:25 18:15
respectfully	54:2 57:9 59:1	s.d.n.y 54:24	21:18 25:6
19:16 21:13	59:2 61:2,6	s.d.n.y. 54:11	26:3 36:2,11
respond 52:21	62:6 63:6,17	sabrina 3:9	38:13 40:24
response 17:7	64:10,12	10:8 16:16	48:9 50:5 54:9
19:20	rights 15:13	sad 57:17	55:11,15,17
rest 63:21	29:25 62:2	saterstein 6:14	56:20 60:15
result 17:18	rises 38:25	samira 7:6	64:20
18:2 40:16	risk 31:10	sami a 7.0	seeing 27:22
57:24 58:6	39:13	Sai ali 7.0	
		rol Colutions	

[seek - spent] Page 22

I- 17.00	44* 10.01	.1.1 1.2	
seek 17:22	setting 12:21	shl 1:3	somebody 13:9
20:24 52:1	13:2	shore 3:18 8:17	13:10,17 59:14
53:4	settle 33:8	8:17 38:7,7	someone's
seeking 17:14	34:18 57:25,25	43:8 47:4	20:19
34:1 44:23	settlement	53:14,14	sonya 2:25
48:12 50:22	11:22,24 12:11	short 40:3	66:3,8
56:6 60:8	26:7 30:3 32:6	48:19 53:12	soon 11:24
seeks 19:7 31:5	34:25 35:5	56:18 59:12	24:13
31:6	39:14 41:24	show 41:13	sorry 60:10
seem 53:8	42:4 47:13	42:3	sort 12:21 15:8
seems 26:5	53:16,22 54:1	side 30:13,14	15:11 22:2
43:3	55:22,23 58:6	52:10,23	23:24,24 25:18
seen 16:9 25:5	settlements	signature 66:7	26:1 28:2,23
27:23	26:8	signed 49:2	56:17
segue 13:2	seven 4:17	significant	sound 17:23
selected 30:21	31:10,11	22:4 25:11	18:13
sending 63:16	seventh 53:10	27:10 28:5	sounds 10:24
sense 13:16	60:4,12	55:13 57:16,19	15:4 28:3 51:9
27:4 28:3,9	several 29:21	simple 59:16	61:6
63:18,23	29:22	site 18:2	southern 1:2
sensible 15:5	seward 2:13	sitting 13:4	24:17
sensitive 13:15	5:15 10:11	31:8,12	space 17:12,15
57:20	20:6,10 65:9	situation 9:17	18:3 23:25
sentence 16:6	share 24:20,22	40:1 44:4,22	speak 9:19,19
separate 11:4	29:4	six 17:15	15:9 39:3,24
september	shared 21:10	size 50:6 54:18	speaking 40:14
20:10	26:1	small 27:12	special 2:14
serve 34:15	shares 35:15	47:5 55:4	10:12 20:6
served 20:13	35:15,16,18,19	smaller 28:16	specific 54:7
service 20:12	35:24,25 36:7	solely 30:15	60:18
services 20:9	36:9,18,18,19	solicitation	spend 53:5
22:6,21	50:10 51:17,18	60:23	54:4 57:2
session 19:6,13	51:20,24,24,25	soliciting 29:11	59:22
sessions 19:3	52:2,7 59:11	32:2	spending 62:3
set 10:19 11:12	sheet 31:24	solution 49:16	64:8
30:17 35:6	37:8	solutions 66:20	spent 35:11,12
53:6,6 62:12			37:3 62:4

[spoken - terms] Page 23

	I	I	
spoken 51:18	step 57:9	summary 16:6	tail 39:13
sprofera 7:9	steps 27:7	supervision	take 23:18
square 4:10,17	stop 32:15	34:5	26:17 27:2,10
stake 39:2,4	streamlined	supplement	27:11 31:10
stakeholder	58:21	19:15 56:25	39:13 52:14,14
16:11	streams 29:22	supplements	55:7,8 57:9
stand 59:19	street 5:3	42:18	taken 40:6
standard 54:5	strike 28:20	support 17:6,8	takes 47:10
standards 60:1	subject 19:22	38:9 39:20	talk 13:13
start 8:8,12	42:14	42:5,13,20	15:19 22:3
9:21 10:20	submit 56:7	45:15,16 46:14	24:13 35:2
14:10 23:22	submitted	47:1,7,13 48:6	talked 27:14
43:10	22:17 45:11	48:10,15,22	46:11 50:8
started 38:14	subsidiaries	49:3,8,9 55:14	55:22
40:18 55:4	17:20	supported 41:1	talking 23:22
starting 29:20	substance	43:3 45:4	26:2,11,12
starts 38:21	15:19	supportive	40:3 56:1
state 8:5 41:16	substantive	46:22	58:23
stated 55:19	57:6,7	supposed 26:5	taylor 6:21
statement 2:19	sufficient	supreme 38:18	team 11:16
32:19 38:11	39:15 42:5,16	sure 10:22	31:16
41:2 42:6,8,10	56:4	16:14 18:14	technical 11:5
42:16 43:5	suggest 14:23	20:23 23:23	tell 26:24 27:5
57:4 62:10,16	15:15 23:19	32:24 36:25	45:14,14
62:18	suggested	44:14 46:4,12	telling 43:23
statements	46:20	46:21 48:9	tend 38:23
26:18,22 45:15	suggesting	59:9 61:19	term 25:13
45:21 51:16	44:16	63:11	31:23 37:8
states 1:1,11	suggestion	suspect 58:5	terminate
5:1 9:7 19:21	14:18,18 16:13	switch 31:1	46:12 47:21
24:16	61:3	sword 42:2	53:3,4,9,9
station 53:24	suggests 37:24	system 26:20	61:22
status 41:4	47:4	t	terminating
42:5	suit 40:11,12	t 66:1,1	34:9 42:23
stay 22:4	suite 5:3 66:22	ta 7:10	54:8
steen 3:3 16:17	summarize	table 39:19	terms 11:23
18:19	54:6	table 37.17	13:2 26:11,11

[terms - triggers]

31:17,19,20	24:3 25:2,15	time 10:18	64:11
33:20,24 41:20	25:16,24 27:15	11:23 12:9	today's 9:19
51:15 58:15	32:20 33:16,25	32:14 33:9	11:12
60:22	34:18 35:2,24	34:10,13 35:11	together 30:11
thank 8:3	36:15,20 37:3	35:12,18,24	39:20
10:25 12:20	37:3,13,22	36:6 37:3,15	toggle 29:24
14:17 16:23	40:25 42:11,18	37:21 38:2	45:16
17:10,10 18:9	43:16 49:5	42:16 45:25	told 15:21
18:17 19:18	51:10,19 52:5	46:3 48:20	46:13
20:1,3,23	52:5,6,7 53:10	53:11,12,25	tonight 32:4
21:17,24 22:18	54:17 56:4,5,8	54:5,21 55:5	33:23 48:20
22:23 23:5,6	56:9,14 57:12	56:4,17,23	53:20
24:2,10,13	57:13,15 58:13	57:2,8 59:22	took 12:1
28:25 29:8	58:21,22 59:10	60:4,8,11,12	24:18
38:4,7 43:7	59:15,20,21	62:3,14,15,22	total 22:16
47:24,25 49:23	60:5,12,17,19	64:12	totally 28:14
49:24 50:1	60:24 61:2	timeline 33:10	touch 9:19
51:11,12 54:1	62:20 63:8,10	timely 20:13	tough 27:3
54:2 61:11	thinks 60:18	times 4:10,17	toward 52:8
62:5,6 64:4,20	third 2:18	13:6,20	towards 50:24
thanks 18:16	41:13 50:18	timing 62:11	54:14 55:1
thing 23:20	54:16	tirelessly 29:21	train 53:23,23
32:1 58:23	thorny 15:7	32:21 41:7,11	transaction
63:19	thought 10:18	today 9:18	17:22
things 10:18	13:5 15:22	11:19 12:22	transcribed
11:5 23:4,21	24:19 28:21	14:11 18:16	2:25
26:14 27:5,7,8	64:13,17	19:12 23:10	transcript 66:4
27:14 28:5,8,9	thoughts 14:16	29:9,12 31:8	transparency
31:6,6 41:14	three 6:2 9:11	31:12 33:14	13:16
48:4 49:12	11:18,20 29:16	36:9 38:2	traveling 19:2
52:21 57:10	33:6,13,17	42:23 46:8,13	treat 52:18
62:22	35:7 37:23	46:14 47:21	trees 60:2
think 12:23	54:13 55:22	48:4,7,15	tried 30:10
13:13 14:5,6	62:17	52:20 53:2	36:1 45:13
14:11,15,18	throw 9:20	57:14 58:14	47:7
15:23 16:12	tight 49:6	60:14 61:15	triggers 15:17
23:14,16,18		62:10 63:5,6	

Page 24

[true - wait] Page 25

	T		
true 66:4	tyler 7:4	undertaken	52:15
truly 41:9	typically 29:14	44:1	uses 41:8
trust 4:2 8:22	u	undertook	using 28:2 42:1
35:16,17 48:13	u.s. 1:23 5:2	45:5	usual 62:14
48:23 50:3	ucc 42:20	unfortunately	usually 57:24
52:7,8 62:8	43:21 44:14	19:12 22:10	62:15,16
trustee 5:2	45:3,14 46:5	44:12 48:7	v
trustee's 9:7,8	47:15	united 1:1,11	value 27:11
19:21 21:22	uday 6:20	5:1 9:6 19:20	35:24 36:8
try 25:7 32:11	ultimately	24:16	37:12,12 49:16
33:2,7 43:19	22:12 30:1	unresolved	50:19,23 52:14
43:23 45:5,7	34:15 46:3	29:19 35:3,4,8	52:14,18
45:21 47:6	unable 31:19	35:13 36:23	,
53:9,9,17,18	uncertain 59:4	54:16 55:25	valued 36:7,8 36:11 50:9
53:25 58:3	uncertain 39:4 unclear 40:9	unsecured 2:15	varick 5:3
63:21		3:13 5:16	
trying 22:5	uncontested	update 23:24	variety 30:6
24:24 25:16	15:24 16:13,18	24:7 59:25	various 24:8
27:16 32:14	18:20 23:10	60:4	26:7,7,8 27:8
33:22 37:7	under 17:15,18	updating 31:22	55:9
52:13,14 58:15	19:25 21:15	32:1 62:19	veritext 66:20
62:2,21	28:17 30:19	urge 48:19	version 18:14
tuesday 62:24	34:4,22 54:7	49:4,17 53:16	21:10 29:24
62:25 63:3,4	underlying	53:21 58:2,13	versions 29:22
63:18	37:4	usd 43:15 44:2	viable 29:18
tune 14:3	understand	44:6	33:20 41:24,25
tune 14.5	10:17 21:3	use 13:15	43:21 54:16
tung 7.11 turn 10:16	22:6 25:4	17:11 24:4	55:2,24
16:13 29:6	26:10,10 28:15	25:13 36:10	victim's 39:25
turned 11:8	37:4 47:5	37:11,15 46:10	victims 39:24
two 11:17 16:6	59:22	53:8,16	view 25:16
19:3,5 22:6	understanding	used 13:14	28:4
38:10,12 39:9	12:9 22:1 44:9	43:17	views 52:4
41:13 43:1	understands	useful 13:6	voices 47:19
	22:15		W
47:4,18,19	understood	14:12 15:16	wait 43:1
53:7,16 61:2	46:22	users 35:20	56:21 64:6
62:17		36:19 37:17	JU.21 UT.U

[waiting - zoom] Page 26